

Design of PID Controller via Novel Model Order Reduction Technique

M. Sudheer Kumar¹, S. Harish², A. Dhanamjay Apparao³

Assistant Professor, Electrical & Electronics Engineering, ANITS, Visakhapatnam, India^{1,2,3}

Abstract: This paper presents a mixed method for finding stable reduced order models using error minimization technique and modified pole clustering technique for single input-single output (SISO) large scale systems. The denominator polynomial of the reduced order model is determined by forming the clusters of the poles of original system and the cluster centers are identified by using inverse distance measure criterion and the numerator polynomial is obtained by the error minimization technique. This reduced system with PID is stable even when the original high order system is unstable.

Keywords: Modified pole clustering, Order reduction, Error minimization technique, Stability, Transfer function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Model order reduction (MOR) is a technique for reducing the complexity of mathematical models in numerical simulations. Many modern mathematical models of real-life processes pose challenges when used in numerical simulations, due to complexity and large size (dimension). Model Order Reduction aims to lower the computational complexity of such problems, for example, in simulations of large-scale control systems. By a reduction of the model's associated state space dimension or degrees of freedom, an approximation to the original model is computed. This reduced order model can then be evaluated with lower accuracy but in significantly less time.

A model is often too complicated to be used in real life problems. It is an un-debated conclusion that, the development of mathematical model of physical system made it feasible to analyze and design. So the procedures based on the physical considerations or mathematical models are used to achieve simpler models than the original one. Whenever a physical system is represented by a mathematical model it may be a transfer function of very high order. Available methods for analysis and design may become cumbersome when applied to a system of higher order [1-4].

The modeling of complex dynamic system is one of the most important subject in engineering. A High order system are not well suited for analysis and design. It becomes necessary to reduce the order of these high-order systems. Model order reduction technique plays an important role in various engineering applications, especially in large scale control systems. Many reduction techniques for linear continuous systems such as Padé approximations, Routh approximations and time moment matching based methods have been proposed [5-9].

In the proposed method, the denominator polynomial of the reduced order model is obtained by using modified pole clustering technique while the coefficients of the numerator are obtained by error minimization technique [10-12].

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let the transfer function of high order original system of the order 'n' be

$$G_n(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2 \dots + a_{m-1}s^{m-1} + a_ms^m}{b_0 + b_1s + b_2s^2 \dots + b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + b_ns^n} \quad (1)$$

Where

$a_i; 0 \leq i \leq m$ and $b_i; 0 \leq i \leq n$ are known scalar constants. Let the transfer function of the reduced model of the order 'k' be

$$R_k(s) = \frac{N_k(s)}{D_k(s)} = \frac{d_0 + d_1s + d_2s^2 \dots + d_{q-1}s^{q-1} + d_qs^q}{e_0 + e_1s + e_2s^2 \dots + e_{r-1}s^{r-1} + e_rs^r} \quad (2)$$

Where

$d_i; 0 \leq i \leq q$ and $e_i; 0 \leq i \leq r$ are unknown scalar constants. The objective of this paper is to realize the k^{th} -order reduced model in the form of (2) from the original system (1).

III. COMPUTATION OF ROM

The reduction procedure for getting the k^{th} -order reduced models consists of the following two steps:

Step-1: Determination of the denominator polynomial using modified pole clustering technique [9]:

The following rules are to be followed for clustering the poles of the original system given in frequency domain:

- The number of cluster centers to be calculated is equal to the order of the reduced system.
- The poles are distributed in to the cluster center for the calculation such that none of the repeated poles present in the same cluster center.
- Minimum number of poles distributed per each cluster center is at least one. There is no limitation for the maximum number poles per cluster center.

The procedural steps for realizing the denominator polynomial by using the modified pole clustering are:

Let there be r real poles in i^{th} cluster are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r where $|p_1| < |p_2| \dots < |p_r|$ and then modified cluster center p_{ei} can be obtained by using the algorithm of modified pole clustering suggested in this paper.

Let m pair of complex conjugate poles in the j^{th} cluster be $[(\alpha_1 \pm j\beta_1), (\alpha_2 \pm j\beta_2), \dots, (\alpha_m \pm j\beta_m)]$ where $|\alpha_1| < |\alpha_2| \dots < |\alpha_m|$.

Now using the same algorithm separately for real and imaginary parts of the complex conjugate poles, the modified cluster centre is obtained, which is written as $\phi_{ej} = A_{ej} \pm jB_{ej}$, where $\phi_{ej} = A_{ej} + jB_{ej}$ and $\check{\phi}_{ej} = A_{ej} - jB_{ej}$.

For finding the modified cluster center, the below algorithm has to be followed:

Step-1: Let r real poles in a cluster be $|p_1| < |p_2| \dots < |p_r|$.

Step-2: Set $j = 1$.

Step-3: Find pole cluster center

$$c_j = \left[\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{-1}{|p_i|} \right) \div r \right]^{-1}$$

Step-4: Set $j = j + 1$.

Step-5: Now find a modified cluster center from

$$c_j = \left[\left(\frac{-1}{|p_1|} + \frac{-1}{|c_{j-1}|} \right) \div 2 \right]^{-1}$$

Step-6: Is $r = j$? , if No, then go to *step-4* otherwise go to

Step-7: Take a modified cluster center of the k^{th} -cluster as $p_{ek} = c_j$

After calculating the modified cluster centers, one of the following cases may occur:

Case-1: If all modified cluster centers are real, then denominator polynomial of the k^{th} -order reduced model can be obtained as

$$D_k(s) = (s - p_{e1})(s - p_{e2}) \dots (s - p_{ek}) \quad (3)$$

where $p_{e1}, p_{e2}, \dots, p_{ek}$ are $1^{st}, 2^{nd}, \dots, k^{th}$ modified cluster center respectively.

Case-2: If all modified cluster centers are complex conjugate then the k^{th} -order denominator polynomial is taken as

$$D_k(s) = (s - \phi_{e1})(s - \check{\phi}_{e1}) \dots (s - \phi_{ek/2})(s - \check{\phi}_{ek/2}) \quad (4)$$

Case-3: If some cluster centers are real and some are complex conjugate. For example, $(k - 2)$ cluster centers are real and one pair of cluster center is complex conjugate, then k^{th} - order denominator can be obtained as

$$D_k(s) = (s - p_{e1})(s - p_{e2}) \dots (s - p_{e(k-2)})(s - \phi_{e1})(s - \check{\phi}_{e1}) \quad (5)$$

Hence, the denominator polynomial $D_k(s)$ is obtained as

$$D_k(s) = e_0 + e_1s + \dots + e_r s^r \quad (6)$$

Step-2: Determination of the numerator of the reduced model by Error minimization technique:

The obtained reduced order denominator polynomial is used here to obtain the values of reduced order numerator. The given higher order transfer function and the general form of reduced order transfer function are equated.

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1s + a_2s^2 \dots + a_{m-1}s^{m-1} + a_ms^m}{b_0 + b_1s + b_2s^2 \dots + b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + b_ns^n} = \frac{d_0 + d_1s + d_2s^2 \dots + d_{q-1}s^{q-1} + d_qs^q}{e_0 + e_1s + e_2s^2 \dots + e_{r-1}s^{r-1} + e_rs^r} \quad (7)$$

On cross multiplying the above equation and comparing the same powers of 's' on both sides, we get the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0e_0 &= b_0d_0 \\ a_0e_1 + a_1e_0 &= b_0d_1 + b_1d_0 \\ a_0e_2 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_0 &= b_0d_2 + b_1d_1 + b_2d_0 \\ a_me_r &= b_nd_q \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

On solving the above equations, we can find the unknown numerator coefficients d_0, d_1, \dots, d_q . Hence the reduced order numerator polynomial can be written as:

$$N_r(s) = d_0 + d_1s + d_2s^2 \dots + d_{q-1}s^{q-1} + d_qs^q \quad (9)$$

IV. PID CONTROLLER

The PID controller is the most common form of feedback. It was an essential element of early governors and it became the standard tool when process control emerged in the 1940s. In process control today, more than 95% of the control loops are of PID type, most loops are actually PI control. PID controllers are today found in all areas where control is used.

The PID algorithm is described by:

$$u(t) = K \left(e(t) + \frac{1}{T_i} \int_0^t e(\tau) d\tau + T_d \frac{de(t)}{dt} \right) \quad (10)$$

where y is the measured process variable, r the reference variable, u is the control signal and e is the control error ($e = y_{sp} - y$). The reference variable is often called the set point. The control signal is thus a sum of three terms: the P term (which is proportional to the error), the I term (which is proportional to the integral of the error), and the D term (which is proportional to the derivative of the error). The controller parameters are proportional gain K , integral time T_i , and derivative time T_d .

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

The version of this template is V2. Most of the formatting instructions in this document have been compiled by Causal Productions from the IEEE LaTeX style files. Causal Productions offers both A4 templates and US Letter templates for LaTeX and Microsoft Word. The LaTeX templates depend on the official IEEEtran.cls and IEEEtran.bst files, whereas the Microsoft Word templates are self-contained. The proposed method is explained by considering two numerical examples, taken from the literature.

The goodness of the proposed method is measured by observing the step responses of the original and reduced model using MATLAB. The step response of the reduced model should be nearly same as that of original higher order system. [13,14].

Example-1: Consider an sixth-order system[9]

$$G(s) = \frac{3s^5 + 108s^4 + 1221s^3 + 5792s^2 + 11860s + 8400}{s^6 + 41s^5 + 571s^4 + 3491s^3 + 10060s^2 + 13100s + 6000}$$

The poles are: -1, -2, -3, -5, -10, -20.

Let the 2nd -order reduced model is required to be realized, for this purpose only two real clusters are required. Let the first and second cluster consists the poles (-1, -2, -3) and (-5, -10, -20) respectively.

The modified cluster centers are computed as

$$P_{e1} = -1.1076$$

$$P_{e2} = -5.5813$$

Using equation (3), the denominator polynomial $D_2(s)$ is obtained as

$$D_2(s) = (s+1.1076)(s+5.5813) = s^2 + 6.6889s + 6.1818$$

Using the equations (8) and (9), the following coefficients are calculated:

$$d_0 = 8.6545$$

$$d_1 = 2.6881$$

Therefore, finally 2nd -order reduced model is obtained as

$$R_2(s) = \frac{2.6881s + 8.6545}{s^2 + 6.6889s + 6.1818}$$

Table I : Comparison with other existing methods

Method	Reduced model	ISE
Proposed mixed method	$\frac{2.6881s + 8.6545}{s^2 + 6.6889s + 6.1818}$	0.00019
Pade-Routh method	$\frac{0.992s + 1.4017}{0.243s^2 + 1.1434s + 1.000}$	0.97




Fig.1. Comparison of the step responses for example 1

TABLE II : Summary of performance characteristics of the transfer function between HOS,ROM and ROM with PID for example 1

Specifications	HOS	ROM	PID
Rise Time	1.84 sec	1.84 sec	0.39 sec
Settling Time	3.47 sec	3.35 sec	1.73 sec
Steady state	1.4	1.4	1
Max Overshoot	0.0%	0.0%	3.39%

Example 2: Consider a fourth order system described by the transfer function [3]

$$G(s) = \frac{72 + 54s + 12s^2 + s^3}{100 + 180s + 97s^2 + 18s^3 + s^4}$$

First we have to find the reduced denominator polynomial by using modified pole clustering technique:

Using step-1, two cluster centres from the real poles -1,-2,-5 and -10 can be formed. The first cluster contains two poles i.e -1 and -2. The second cluster contains remaining two poles i.e -5 and -10.

By using the modified cluster center algorithm steps, we get

$$P_{e1} = -1.1416$$

$$P_{e2} = -5.7142$$

Therefore, by using equation (3) we get

$$D_2(s) = (s+1.1416)(s+5.7142) = s^2 + 6.8558s + 6.5233$$

Next for the reduced order numerator coefficients in error minimization technique, using the equations (8) and (9), the following coefficients are calculated:

$$d_0 = 4.69$$

$$d_1 = 0.0045$$

Therefore, finally 2nd -order reduced model is obtained as

$$R_2(s) = \frac{4.69 + 0.0045s}{6.5233 + 6.8558s + s^2}$$




Fig.2. Comparison of the step responses for the given example 2

Table III : Comparison with other existing methods

Method	Reduced model	ISE
Proposed mixed method	$\frac{4.69 + 0.0045s}{6.5233 + 6.8558s + s^2}$	0.000025
Pade-Pole clustering method	$\frac{6.3920 + 0.9523s}{8.8778 + 7.9999s + s^2}$	0.002025

TABLE IV : Summary of performance characteristics of the transfer function between HOS,ROM and ROM with PID for example 2

Specifications	HOS	ROM	PID
Rise Time	2.31 sec	1.99 sec	0.28 sec
Settling Time	4.02 sec	3.62 sec	1.52 sec
Steady state	0.72	0.72	1
Max Overshoot	0.0%	0.0%	3.61%

VI. CONCLUSION

In this proposed order reduction method for the linear single-input-single-output high order systems, the determination of denominator polynomial of the reduced model is done by using the modified cluster method while the numerator coefficients are computed by error minimization technique. The proposed algorithm has been explained with a numerical example. The step responses of the original and reduced system of second order are shown in the Figure 1 and 2. This method is simple, proficient and takes little computational time. This method secures the stability and avoids steady-state error between the step responses of the original and reduced models.

REFERENCES

- [1]. V. Singh, D. Chandra and H. Kar, "Improved Routh Pade approximants:A Computer aided approach", IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 49(2),pp.292-296, 2004.
- [2]. S.Mukherjee and R.N. Mishra, "Reduced order modeling of linear multivariable systems using an error minimization technique", Journal of Franklin Inst., 325 (2), pp.235-245, 1988.
- [3]. Sastry G.V.K.R Raja Rao G. And Mallikarjuna Rao P., "Large scale interval system modelling using Routh approximants", Electronic Letters, 36(8), pp.768-769, 2000.
- [4]. R. Prasad, "Pade type model order reduction for multivariable systems using Routh approximation", Computers and Electrical Engineering, 26,pp.445-459, 2000.
- [5]. G. Parmar, S. Mukherjee and R. Prasad, "System reduction using factor division algorithm and eigen spectrum analysis", Applied Mathematical Modeling, Elsevier, 31, pp.2542-2552, 2007.
- [6]. R. Prasad and J. Pal, "Stable reduction of linear systems by continued fractions", Journal of Institution of Engineers IE(I) Journal-EL, 72,pp.113-116, 1991.
- [7]. R. Prasad, S.P. Sharma and A.K. Mittal, "Improved Pade approximation for multivariable systems using stability equation method", Journal of Institution of Engineers IE (I) Journal-EL, 84, pp.161-165, 2003.
- [8]. A.K. Sinha, J. Pal, Simulation based reduced order modeling using a clustering technique, Computer and Electrical Engineering., 16(3), 1990, 159-169.
- [9]. J. Pal, A.K. Sinha and N.K. Sinha, Reduced-order modelling using pole clustering and time-moments matching, Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India), Pt EI, 76,1995, 1-6.
- [10]. Sastry,G.V.K.R and Krishnamurthy.V,'State Space Model Reduction using SRAM' Electronic Letters,Jrl.of IEE(U.K),vol 22,pp 1300-1320,1987.
- [11]. Sastry .G.V.K.R, Raja Rao.G,and Gabriel.T,'Large scale MIMO systems reduction using Pade Polynomial Derivative Techniques',ASME International Conference on Applied Modelling and Simulation,Banff,CANADA,1997.
- [12]. Nagendra.N, Sudheer Kumar.M and Madhubabu.T. "Design of Discrete Controller via Novel Model Order Reduction Method", International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Vol. 2, No.4, pp.2331-2334, 2012.
- [13]. Mr.M.Sudheer Kumar ,Asst Prof, Mr.N.Nagendra and Mr.T.Madhu Babu,2/2 M.E(C.S) have published a paper on "A Novel Mixed Method for Model Order Reduction of Linear Systems using PSO and polynomial method" in the proceedings of International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engg,pp 175-178 held on 9th June 2012 at Tirupathi.

- [14]. Mr.M.Sudheer Kumar,Asst Prof,Dept of EEE has presented a paper on "A New Mixed Method for the Order Reduction of Linear Continuous Systems Using Mihailov Criterion and Factor Division Method for MIMO systems" in the National Conference NCACEE-11 at RIT,Pondicherry,Yanam on 11th November 2011

BIOGRAPHIES



M.Sudheer Kumar received the B.Tech degree in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from from JNTU Kakinada university, India in 2008. He has completed M.E (Power Electronic Drives and Control) in the department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, A.U, Visakhapatnam, AP, and India in 2012. His research interests include Large scale system modelling & design and Advanced control systems Currently he is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engg., Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences, Andhra University, India.



S.Harish received B.Tech degree in Electrical & Electronics Engineering from Acharya Nagarjuna University, India in 2009 and M.Tech degree from Manipal University in Power Electronic Systems & Control, in 2011. His research interest includes Applications of Power Electronics to Power Systems, FACTS, HVDC and Power System Stability & Analysis. Currently he is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engg., Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences, Andhra University, India.



A.Dhananjay Apparao received the B.Tech degree in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from JNTU Kakinada university, India in 2005 and M.Tech degree from JNTU Kakinada university AP, and India in Power Electronics 2012. His research interests include Large scale system modelling & design and Advanced control systems. His research interest includes Applications of Power Electronics, HVDC. Currently he is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engg., Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences, Andhra University, India.