

# Efficient Use of Bi-Orthogonal Wavelet Transform for Cardiac Signals

Arpit Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Sandeep Toshniwal<sup>2</sup>

Student, Department of Electronics & Communication, Kautilya Institute of Tech. and Engg., Jaipur, India<sup>1</sup>

Reader & Head, Department of Electronics & Communication, Kautilya Institute of Tech. and Engg., Jaipur, India<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** The ECG finds its importance in the detection of cardiac abnormalities. ECG signal processing in an embedded platform is a challenge which has to deal with several issues. Noise reduction in ECG signal is an important task of biomedical science. ECG signals are very low frequency signals of about 0.5Hz-100Hz. There are various artifacts which get added in these signals and change the original signal, therefore there is a need of removal of these artifacts from the original signal. The noises that commonly disturb the basic electrocardiogram are power line interference, electrode contact noise, motion artifacts, electromyography (EMG) noise, and instrumentation noise. These noises can be classified according to their frequency content. In this paper, these we have used wavelet transform based approach for removing these noise. In this paper, the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) at level 8 was applied to the ECG signals and decomposition of the ECG signals was performed. After removal of noise component using thresholding technique, decomposed signal is again constructed using Inverse discrete wavelet transform (IDWT). Here for de-noising the ECG signal, bi-orthogonal wavelet transform is used and the most efficient idea for noise removal process is concluded with this wavelet transform. The simulation has been done in MATLAB environment. The experiments are carried out on MIT-BIH database. Performance analysis was performed by evaluating Mean Square Error (MSE), Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), Peak Signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and visual inspection over the de-noised signal from each algorithm.

**Keywords:** ECG, Wavelet Transform, discrete wavelet transform, PSNR, MSE.

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems in biomedical data processing like electrocardiography is the separation of the wanted signal from noises caused by power line interference, high frequency interference, external electromagnetic fields and random body movements and respiration [1]. Electrocardiogram (ECG) is one of the most important parameters for heart activity monitoring. A doctor can detect different types of deflections by the full form analysis of the ECG signal. Fig. 1 shows the standard ECG Signal. Different types of digital filters are used to get the main signal components and to remove unwanted frequency ranges. It is difficult to apply filters with fixed coefficients to reduce random noises, because human behavior is not exact known depending on the time. Adaptive filter technique is required to overcome this problem. In many applications for biomedical signal processing the useful signals are superposed by different components. Interference may have technical sources, for example, power supply harmonic, high frequency noises and electromagnetic fields from other electronic devices, and biological sources, such as muscular reaction, respiratory movements and changing parameters of the direct contact between electrodes and the skin [1]. So, extraction and analysis of the information bearing signal are complicated, caused by distortions from interference. Using advanced digital signal processing this task can be shifted from the analogue to the digital domain [2]. Usually two types of digital filters are used for data processing:

- Frequency-selective filters with fixed coefficient
- Filters with variable coefficients.

Various adaptive and non-adaptive methods are there for ECG signals enhancement or other biomedical signal improvements [3]-[7]. For non stationary signals it is not adequate to use Digital filters or Adaptive method because of loss of information & low value of SNR. The discrete wavelet transform has become a powerful technique in biomedical signal processing [8]-[10]. In this paper, the discrete wavelet transform was utilized to decompose the ECG and then the Noisy frequency components related to the ECG were removed. Wavelet threshold de-noising methods deals with wavelet coefficients using a suitable chosen threshold value in advance. The wavelet coefficients at different scales could be obtained by taking DWT of the noisy signal. Normally, those wavelet coefficients with smaller magnitudes than the preset threshold are caused by the noise and are replaced by zero, and the others with larger magnitudes than the preset threshold are caused by original signal mainly and kept (hard-thresholding case) or shrunk (the soft-thresholding case). Then the de-noised signal could be reconstructed from the resulting wavelet coefficients. In recent years wavelet transform (WT) has become favourable technique in the field of signal processing. Donoho proposed the de-noising method called "wavelet shrinkage", it has three steps:

- Forward wavelet transform,
- Wavelet coefficients shrinkage at different levels
- The inverse wavelet transform, which work in de-noising the signals such as Universal threshold, SureShrink, Minimax [12][13].




Fig. 1 A standard ECG waveform

## II. METHODOLOGY

For biomedical signals, most of the statistical characteristics of these signals are non-stationary. In particular, the analysis of biological signals should exhibit good resolution in both time domain and frequency domain. Wavelet analysis represents the next logical step: a windowing technique with variable-sized regions. Wavelet analysis allows the use of long time intervals where we want more precise low frequency information, and shorter regions where we want high frequency information. One major advantage afforded by wavelets is the ability to perform local analysis, that is, to analyse a localized area of a larger signal.

A wavelet is a waveform of effectively limited duration that has an average value of zero. Fourier analysis consists of breaking up a signal into sine waves of various frequencies. Similarly, wavelet analysis is the breaking up of a signal into shifted and scaled versions of the original (or mother) wavelet. The fact that wavelet transform is a multi-resolution analysis makes it very suitable for analysis of non-stationary signals such as the ECG signal [14].

In wavelet transform, a signal  $x(t)$  which belongs to the square integral subspace  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is expressed in terms of scaling function  $\Phi_{j,k}(t)$  and mother wavelet function  $\Psi_{j,k}(t)$ . Here  $j$  is the parameter of dilation or the visibility in frequency and  $k$  is the parameter of the position.

$$x(t) = \sum_k a_{j_0,k} \varphi_{j_0,k}(t) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \sum_k b_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t) \quad \dots(1)$$

where  $a, b$  are the coefficients associated with  $\varphi_{j,k}(t)$  and  $\psi_{j,k}(t)$  respectively.

**Discrete Wavelet Transform:** The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) is an implementation of the wavelet transform using a discrete set of the wavelet scales and translations obeying some defined rules. In other words, this transform decomposes the signal into mutually orthogonal set of wavelets. The scaling function  $\varphi_{j,k}(n)$  and the mother wavelet function  $\psi_{j,k}(n)$  in discrete domain are as follows:

$$\varphi_{j,k}(n) = 2^{j/2} \varphi(2^j n - k) \quad \dots(2)$$

$$\psi_{j,k}(n) = 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j n - k) \quad \dots(3)$$

The DWT has the capability of decomposing a signal of interest into an approximation and detail information. It

can thus analyse the signal at different frequency ranges with different resolutions. The DWT is implemented by means of a pair of digital filter banks where the signal is successively decomposed. The two filters are a high pass filter and a low pass filter. Scaling function and wavelet function are associated with low pass and high pass filters, respectively, and they are used in the DWT algorithm. These filters provide the decomposition of the signal with different frequency bands by recursively applying filters to the signal. The signal is then split equally into its high and low frequency components, called details and approximations, respectively. In the DWT algorithm, the input signal  $x(t)$  is first passed through the high pass filter and low pass filter, and subsequently the outputs of both filters are decimated by a factor of two. The input signal to the filters is the ECG. The high pass filtered data set is the detail coefficients at level 1 and the low pass filtered data set is the approximation coefficients at level 1. This process can continue for further decomposition at level 2,3,4, until the limit of data length is reached. In addition, it is possible to reconstruct the original signal from the approximation and detail coefficients.

**ECG De-noising Using Wavelet Transform:** In this proposed method, the corrupted ECG signal  $x(n)$  is de-noised by taking the DWT of raw and noisy ECG signal. A family of the mother wavelet is available having the energy spectrum concentrated around the low frequencies like the ECG signal as well as better resembling the QRS complex of the ECG signal. We have used *Bior* wavelet, which resembles the ECG wave.

In discrete wavelet transform (DWT), the low and high frequency components in  $x(n)$  is analysed by passing it through a series of low-pass and high-pass filters with different cut-off frequencies. This process results in a set of approximate coefficients (cA) and detail coefficients (cD). To remove the power line interference and the high frequency noise, the DWT is computed to level 8 using *bior* mother wavelet function and scaling function. Then the approximate coefficients at level 8 (cA8) are set to zero. After that, inverse wavelet transform (IDWT) of the modified coefficients are taken to obtain the approximate noise of the ECG signal. The residue of the raw signal and the approximate noise is obtained to get noise free ECG signal. Fig. 2 shows the complete process for noise removal.




Fig. 2 Wavelet Transform Based Noise Removal

**Thresholding Method:** In discrete wavelet transform, threshold is applied to the signal after passing through the DWT, and then IDWT is taken. Global threshold value is given as:

$$T = \sigma \sqrt{2 \log N} \quad \dots(4)$$

Where  $T$  is the threshold,  $N$  is no. of samples,  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of noise for white Gaussian noise. Two thresholding methods are used namely Hard threshold and Soft threshold.

**Bi-orthogonal Wavelet Transform:** This family of wavelets exhibits the property of linear phase, which is needed for signal and image reconstruction. By using two wavelets, one for decomposition and the other for reconstruction instead of the same single one, interesting properties are derived. We have following Bi-orthogonal wavelet filter:- bior1.1 bior1.3 bior1.5 bior2.2 bior2.4 bior2.6 bior2.8 bior3.1 bior3.3 bior3.5 bior3.7 bior3.9 bior4.4 bior5.5 bior6.8.

### III. RESULTS

The ECG signals used are MIT BIH arrhythmia database ECG recording [11]. Here both base line wander (non-stationary noise) and power line interference (stationary noise) have been considered. This MIT BIH arrhythmia database consists of two channel ECG recording. The sampling rate of the recording is 360 samples per second. To demonstrate power line interference (PLI) cancellation we have chosen MIT-BIH record number 100. The input to the filter is ECG signal corresponds to the data 100 corrupted with synthetic PLI with frequency 60Hz. Wavelet transform was realized with support of MATLAB and Wavelet Toolbox. The ECG signals were decomposed by the DWT at level 8. It can be observed that the activity of baseline wandering was found in the A8, since the baseline wandering is low frequency activity. In order to remove the baseline wandering from the ECG signals, the synthesis process of the inverse DWT was performed.

In this paper, the original signal was reconstructed without the A8 information. MSE, PSNR and SNR improvement are measured and compared. We have performed de-noising using various wavelets of Bi-orthogonal wavelet filter. We have also compared Bi-orthogonal wavelet with other wavelets like Daubechies, Haar, Symlet, Coif. But we found that Bi-orthogonal wavelet bior3.9 is most suitable for ECG de-noising. Here Fig. 3 shows the noisy signal and the noise are removed with Bior3.9 wavelet from the original signal as depicted in Fig. 4.




Fig. 3 Noisy ECG Signal

Fig. 5 shows the four filters of Bior3.9 by which all the filtrations are done. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 show the Frequency response of Noisy ECG signal and De-noised ECG signal respectively. Fig. 8 is showing wavelet and scaling function for Bior 3.9. TABLE 1 is represented for the

performance analysis for various wavelets, the calculations of signal to noise ratio with different wavelets are also done.




Fig. 4 De-noised ECG Signal (with Bior3.9)




Fig. 5 Bior3.9 Bi-orthogonal Four Filters

As it is seen from Fig. 8, above two figures are showing the decomposing scaling function and decomposition wavelet function while below two are for reconstruction. As it is explained earlier by using two wavelets, one for decomposition and the other for reconstruction instead of the same single one, interesting properties are derived. As it is shown in TABLE 1 that Bior 3.9 gives the minimum Mean Square Error and the Signal to Noise ratio is maximum among all the wavelets. That's why it is more preferable.




Fig. 6 Freq Response of Noisy ECG Signal




Fig. 7 Freq Response of De-noised ECG (with Bior3.9)




Fig. 8 Wavelet and scaling function for Bior3.9

TABLE 1 Performance Analysis Table for Various WAVELETS

TYPES OF WAVELETS	MSE	PSNR (db)	SNR (db)
Haar	4.1085e-004	36.9974	17.9362
Dmey	3.9868e-004	37.1279	18.0214
DB4	3.5226e-004	37.6656	18.6242
Coif 2	3.4542e-004	37.7507	18.7346
Sym 6	3.4186e-004	37.7957	18.7704
Bior2.8	2.8113e-004	38.6452	19.7645
Bior3.7	2.5705e-004	39.0340	20.2263
Bior3.9	2.3774e-004	39.3732	20.5785

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Filtration was applied for many Noisy ECG signals in several papers, but the wavelet Transform de-noising is much better than such type of filtration. The reason is that spectrum of the noise interfere with spectrum of the ECG signal. By wavelet filtering Noisy ECG signals are filtrated at some frequency levels, independent each other, whereas by classical filtration isn't possible to separate the signal and noise. Therefore is using wavelet de-noising more useful than filtering. Bior (bior3.9) wavelet transform is the best method to de-noise the noisy ECG signals. As from TABLE 1, we found that wavelet de-noising using bior 3.9 wavelet gives lowest value of MSE and highest value of PSNR. So wavelet de-noising, using bior 3.9 wavelet is most efficient method.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Anonymous, ANSI/AAMI EC11-1991, "American National Standard for Diagnostic Electrocardiographic Devices".
- [2] Emmanuel C. Ifeakor, Barrie W. Jervis: "Digital signal processing, a practical approach, second edition", Moscow: Yillyams(2004).
- [3] Y.Der Lin and Y.Hen Hu "Power line interference detection and suppression in ECG signal processing", *IEEE Transactions and Biomedical engineering*, vol.55,pp. 354- 357,January,2008.
- [4] N.V Thakor and Y.S.Zhu, "Application of adaptive filtering to ECG analysis: noise cancellation and arrhythmia detection", *IEEE Transactions and Biomedical engineering*, vol.38, no.8, pp.785-794, August,1991.
- [5] J.M Leski and N.Henzel, "ECG baseline wander and power line interference reduction using nonlinear filter bank", *Signal processing*, vol.85, pp.781-793, 2005.
- [6] Mahesh S Chavan, R A Agrawala, M.D. Uplane. "Digital Elliptic Filter Application for noise Reduction in ECG Signal" *4th Wseas International Conference on Electronics, Control & Signal Processing*, Miami Florida USA 17-19 Nov. 2005 (pg 58-63).
- [7] C.Mihov and I Dotsinsky, "Power line Interference elimination from ECG in case of non-multiplicity between the sampling rate and power line frequency", *Biomedical Signal processing and control*, vol.3,pp.334- 340, June, 2008.
- [8] B. Natwong, P. Sooraksa, C. Pintavirooj, S. Bunluechokchai, and W. Ussawongaraya, "Wavelet Entropy Analysis of the High Resolution ECG", *ICIEA The 1st IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications*, May 2006.
- [9] S. Gilberto, F. Thomas, R. Lutz, MR. Antoni, M. Markku, B. Klaus, B. Martin, and B. Gnter, "Multiresolution decomposition of the signal-averaged ECG using the Mallat approach for prediction of arrhythmic events after myocardial infarction", *Journal of Electrocardiology*, vol. 29,no. 3, pp. 223-234, 1996.
- [10] M. Boutaa, F. Berekxi-Reguig, and S.M.A. Debbal, "ECG signal processing using multiresolution analysis", *Journal of Medical Engineering &Technology*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 466-478, November/December 2008.
- [11] www.physionet.org/physiobank/database/#ecg.
- [12] Donoho DL, Johnstone IM(1994). "Ideal spatial adaptation by wavelet shrinkage", *Biometrika*, Vol.81, No.3, pp. 425-455.
- [13] Donoho DL(1995). "De-noising by soft-thresholding", *IEEE Trans Inform Theory*, Vol.14, No.3,pp. 612-627.
- [14] Mahmoodabadi and S. Ahmadian, "ECG feature extraction based on multiresolution wavelet transform", *Proceedings of the IEEE 27th Annual Conference on Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, pp. 3902-3905, Shanghai, China, January, 2005.