IJARCCE

ISSN (Online) 2278-1021
ISSN (Print) 2319 5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
ISO 3297:2007 Certified
Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

Overview of QoS Routing Protocols under MANET Scenario

Neeru Jhanji[1], Prof. Tilotma Sharma[2]

M.Tech Student, Department of CSE & IT Mahakal, Institute of Technology, Ujjain[1]

Reader, Department of CSE & IT, Mahakal Institute of Technology, Ujjain[2]

Abstract: A mobile circumstantial network (MANET) consists of mobile nodes with none infrastructure. In recent years, each the realm of providing quality of service and routing in mobile circumstantial network have massively raised in importance. For quality of service (QoS) routing, it's not enough to solely realize a route from a supply to 1 or additional then one destination. This route additionally should satisfy one or additional then one QoS constraints, mostly, however not restricted to information measure or delay. In recent year variety of QoS routing protocol with distinctive options are fresh projected but, systematic performance evaluations and comparative analysis of those protocol in an exceedingly common realistic atmosphere are performed solely in an exceedingly restricted manner. This paper gift a through summary of QoS routing matrix, resources and issue touching performance of QoS routing protocol. The relative strength, weakness of the QoS routing protocol are studied and compared. QoS routing protocol area unit classified consistent with the QOs Matrix Used, styles of QoS Routing overhead and there interaction with Macintosh Protocol.

Keywords: Mobile circumstantial network, quality of service (QoS), QOs Matrix Used, styles of QoS Routing

1. INTRODUCTION

Mobile Adhoc Networks (MANETs) could be a category of wireless networks that are researched extensively over the recent years [1]. MANETsdo not need the support of wired access points or base stations for communication. A mobile adhoc network, not like a static network, has no infrastructure. It's a group of mobile nodes wherever communication is established within the absence of any dynamic foundation.

The direct communication which happens between neighbouring nodes. Therefore, communication between remote nodes is predicated on multiple-hop. These nodes are dynamically and randomly changed in such a way that the interconnections between nodes are capable of fixing on a continuing basis.

MANETs are self-configuring. There's no central management system with configuration responsibilities. All the mobile nodes will communicate one another directly, if they're in other's wireless links radio varies.

So as to change information transfer they are communicating through single hop or through multiple hops with the assistance of intermediate nodes. Since MANETs permit present service access, anywhere, anytime with none fastened infrastructure they'll be wide utilized in military battlefields, crisis management services, school rooms and conference halls etc. MANETs ad-hoc fashion networking developments result in development of monumental transmission applications love video-on-demand, video conferencing etc. Routing in mobile prompt networks and a few fastened wireless networks use multiple- hop routing.

Routing protocols for this sort of wireless network should be able to maintain ways to alternative nodes and, in most cases, should be handle changes in ways because of quality. However, most of the present adhoc routingprotocols don't contemplate the QoS drawback. Quality of Service (QoS) is that the performance level of a service offered by the network to the userQoS routing is very important for a mobile network to interconnect wired networks with QoS support (e.g., Internet).

The QoS routing protocol is additionally required in a very complete multi-hop mobile network for period applications (like voice, video, etc.). QoS routing needs not solely to search out a route from a supply to a destination, however a route that satisfies the end- to-end QoS demand, typically given in terms of information measure or delay.

Quality of service is tougher to ensure in adhoc networks than in most alternative variety of networks, as a result of the topology changes because the nodes move and network state data is mostly inaccurate. This needs in depth collaboration between the nodes, each to determine the route and to secure the resources necessary to produce the QoS. In recent years, however, QoS in mobile adhoc networks as a research topic has began to receive attention from a growing variety of researchers [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], and major advances are expected within the next few years. QoS desires a group of service necessities to be met by the network whereas transporting a packet stream from

IJARCCE

ISSN (Online) 2278-1021
ISSN (Print) 2319 5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
ISO 3297:2007 Certified
Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

supply to destination. several of the planned QoS routing protocols manage information measure demand. Quality of Service (QoS) primarily based routing is outlined as a "Routing mechanism beneath that ways for flows are determined supported some information of resource convenience within the network also because the QoS demand of flows." the most objectives of QoS primarily based routing are[8]:Dynamic determination of possible ways for accommodating the QoS of the given flow beneath policy constraints love path value, supplier choice etc, optimum utilization of resources for rising total network output and swish performance degradation throughout overload conditions giving higher output. QoS routing ways are classified as supply routing distributed routing and ranked routing [9]. QoS primarily based routing becomes difficult in MANETs, as nodesshould keep Associate in nursing up-to-date data regarding link standing. Due to the dynamic nature of MANETs, maintaining the precise link state data isvery troublesome. Finally, the reserved resource might not be secure as a result of the quality caused path breakage or power depletion of the mobile hosts. QoS routing ought to apace realize a possible new route to recover the service. Our motive during this paper is to style a routing Technique that considers all 3 higher than issues along. We tend to outline a metric that makes an attempt to take care of a balance between quality and energy constraints in MANETs.

2. CHALLENGES OF QOS ROUTING IN AD HOC NETWORKS

Mobile adhoc networks dissent from the standard wired networks. They need sure distinctive characteristics that cause difficulties for providing QoS in such networks. The distinctive characteristics area unit dynamically varied constellation, lack of precise state data, shared radio channel, restricted resource availableness, hidden terminal downside and insecure medium. These characteristics and their effects on adhoc networks are mentioned during this period one by one. In mobile adhoc networks, nodes area unit mobile and constellation is dynamic dynamically. Consequently, the route that is already originated with needed QoS couldn't satisfy QoS any longer if one amongst the nodes on this established route moves. As an example, a node may move to a part with a lot of interference thereto. The node whose rate has been overused ought to take some actions. The data concerning loss of QoS ought to be sent by this node to any or all sources whose transmission goes through the overlaying node. Sources WHO receive this message need to realize another potential route by victimisation QoS aware routing protocol once more. This procedure can cause delay which cannot be acceptable.

A. Lack of precise state data

Due to the dynamic characteristic, data of nodes transmitted to different nodes could amendment right when this data is transmitted to its neighbours. The data here may be the info rate on the market at the neighbouring node, since on the market rate of nodes is stricken by the info rate of its neighbours.

B. Shared radio channel

Data transmitted on the radio channel may be received by stations that area unit within the carrier sensing vary of the transmitter. This broadcast characteristic can cause interference to different stations once traffic is transmitted over the air interface. Thus, stations need to share channel with neighboursin their carrier sensing vary. This can be terribly completely different from the wired channel which cannot cause that abundant interference between one another thanks to correct construction of lines that attenuates noise interference considerably.

C. Limited resource availableness

The resources admire rate, battery life, and space for storing area unit all terribly restricted in adhoc networks. The battery life in a very sensing element network may be a excellent example. In a very sensing element network, every sensing element has terribly restricted battery life, therefore routing supported power consumption is wide thought of.

The data rate is extremely restricted for wireless links if we tend to compared it with the info rate on the market in wired network. Additionally, the fundamental characteristics of the wireless channel e g. fading, noise, and shared rate between neighbour nodes (neighbour nodes need to keep silent once it senses some node is transmitting) also will degrade the wireless rate.

3. EVALUATION METRICS FOR QOS ROUTING PROTOCOLS

As completely different applications have different needs, the services needed by them and therefore the associated QoS parameters take issue from application to application. As an instance, In the case of transmission the key QoS parameters are bandwidth, applications, delay jitter and delay, whereas military applications have demanding security needs. The subsequent could be a sample of the metrics normally employed by applications to specify QoS demand to the routing protocol. Associate in Nursing approach to route discovery with QoS .Based on the routing data update mechanism used, QoS approaches may be classified into 3 Classes viz., Proactive, on-demand, and hybrid QoS approaches. Proactive protocols are one wherever a routing table is maintained at each node that aids in forwarding packets. These tables are updated frequently so as to keep up up-to-date routing data from every node to each alternative node. Therefore, the supply node will get a routing path in real time if it desires one. There are some typical proactive QoS routing protocols similar to QOLSR [11] (QoS Optimized Link State Routing) and PLBQR [12] (Predictive Location-Based QoS Routing in Mobile adhoc Networks). A reactive protocol is additionally known as “on-demand” protocols. Reactive protocols

IJARCCE

ISSN (Online) 2278-1021
ISSN (Print) 2319 5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
ISO 3297:2007 Certified
Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

are one that doesn't need the upkeep of constellation once there's no traffic. The state data is Inheritable once required. However, route maintenance is a very important operation of reactive routing protocols, as a result of supply nodes might suffer from long delays for route looking before they'll forward information packets. A QoS constraint could be a lower or higher numerical certain concerning a QoS metric. If a path is possible with reference to a QoS constraint, this suggests that the path's price relating to the chosen metric doesn't cross the given boundary. This criterion refers as to whether a QoS routing protocol is capable of finding a route satisfying one QoS constraint solely (even if the protocol permits the metric used for the constraint to be chosen from a group of metrics), or if it will take multiple constraints under consideration at an equivalent time. Finding Associate in nursing optimum route that satisfies multiple constraints at the same time is inherently laborious and of complexness NP [25]. Therefore, most routing algorithms that think about multiple constraints don't attempt to realize the optimum path however rather any path satisfying all constraints.

4. TYPE OF QOS GUARANTEE ASSURED

The QoS provisioning approaches can be categorized into two categories, Hard QoS and Soft QoS approaches. If QoS requirements of a connection are definite to meet for the whole duration of the session, the QoS approach is called as hard QoS approach. In MANETS it is challenging to give hard QoS guarantees to user applications. Protocols likeNSR(Non Stop Routing) and SIRCCR (SIR and Channel Capacity based Routing). If the QoS requirements are not guaranteed for the whole session, the QoS approach is called as soft QoS approach. Thus, QoS guarantees can only be given within definite statistical bounds. Most of the protocols provide soft QoS guarantees.

- **Minimum Throughput (bps)** – The preferred application data throughput. [13]
- **Maximum Delay (s)** – maximum delay in end-to-end data packets. [14].
- **Maximum Delay Jitter** – It is a difference between upper bound on end-to-end delay and absolute minimum delay. [15]
- **Maximum Packet loss ratio** – the acceptable percentage of total packets sent, which hasnot received by the final destination node [16]
- **Network topology** (Flat, Hierarchical and Location-aware)[17]
- **Mobility** (two ray ground, flat)[18]
- **Density**(Static, Dynamic, Fix, Variable)[19]

5. COMPARISON OF QOS ROUTING PROTOCOL

There are different ways to categorize the QoS-aware routing protocols in MANETs. Protocols can be classify by network topology (flat, hierarchical and hybrid). Some different approaches to solve the QoS issues (ticket-based probing, predictive and more node state information).
Some different protocols by route discovery approach (proactive, reactive, and hybrid).Other classifications include by the interaction with MAC layer (independent or dependent), and also byQoS requirements (delay, bandwidth, security and energy). In this paper, the classification of QoS-aware routing protocols is depends on approaches to QoS-aware routing in MANETs.

Table 1 lists the representative QoS-aware routing mechanisms discussed in this paper. It includes the QoS metrics, node information, requirements from MAC layer, different assumptions to make the protocols feasible

Table 1: Comprehensive comparison Of QoS Aware Routing Protocols

Routing Protocol	Types of QoS	Routing Topology	State mechanism	Update mechanism	No of path discovered	QoS matrix	Mac layer interaction	Routing overhead	Base of routing	Mobility	Density	Addressing
CEDAR	SOFT	Hierarchical	Local	Hybrid	Single	Bandwidth	Dependent	Low overhead	Past history	Medium	Medium	Unicast
PLBQR	Soft	Location prediction	Local	Reactive	Single	Delay &Bandwidth	Independent	Route recomputed on link breakage	Prediction	Medium	Medium	Unicast
Gaman	Soft	Flat	Local	Reactive	Multiple	Delay& Transmission success	Independent	Method is not suited for large networks	Past history	Low	Medium	Unicast
TBP	Soft	Flat	Local	Reactive	Multiple	Delay & bandwidth	Dependent	Low QoS overhead	Past	Low	Low	Unicast
QDSDV	Soft	Flat	Local	Proactive	Single	Bandwidth	Independent	Does not evaluate free slots on different link	Past	Low	Medium	Unicast
QMRPD	Pseudo-hard	Hierarchical	Global	Hybrid	Multiple	Delay-jitter &cost	Independent	Less message process overhead	Past	Medium	Low	Multicast
AQOR	Soft	Flat	Global	Distributed reactive	Multiple	Delay &bandwidth	Dependent	Complete flooding of RREQ	Past	Medium	Low	Unicast
QAODV	Soft	Flat	Global	Reactive	Single	Bandwidth& delay	Independent	Node travesal delay	Past	Low	Medium	Unicast
QOLSR	Soft	Hierarchical	Local	Proactive	Multiple	Delay through put	Independent	Less flodding of RREQ	Flooding	Low	Medium	Unicast

DOI 10.17148/IJARCCE.2016.5956

ISSN (Online) 2278-1021
ISSN (Print) 2319 5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
ISO 3297:2007 Certified
Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a set of QoS-models and QoS-routings for MANETs with an emphasis on QoS-aware on-demand routing and their own support for QoS provision. Although most of the re-search focus on different problems, they are related to each other and have to face some common difficulties, which include mobility, limited bandwidth and power consumption, This Paper presented a survey of several uncasingQoS-aware routing protocols for MANETs, including CEDAR, ticket-based QoS routing, OLSR-based QoS Routing, AQOR, QAODV, PLBQR TDR, QDSDV, TBP, Gama, QMRPD . We compared these routing protocols in terms of their different approaches to bandwidth/delay estimation, route discovery, signalling and rerouting a detailed and comprehensive comparison table also provided for better understanding of QoS provision in MANETs through on-demand routing mechanisms.

REFERENCES

[1] C.R.Lin and J.S. Liu., "QoS routing in ad hoc wireless networks", IEEE J.Select.Areas Commun.,vol.17, pp.1488-1505, 1999.
[2] H. Sun and H. Hughes, "Adaptive QoS Routing Based on Prediction of Local Performance in Ad Hoc Networks", Proc. of IEEE WNCN 2003.
[3] S. T. Shen and J.H. Chen, "A novel delay-oriented shortest path routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks," Proc. of IEEE ICC 2001.
[4] C. R. Lin and J.-S. Liu. QoS Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks. IEEE JSAC - 17(8), 1999.
[5] C. Zhu and M. Corson, "QoS routing for mobile ad hoc networks", Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM 2002.
[6] C. R. Lin. On-demand QoS routing in multihop mobile networks. Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM 2001.
[7] S. Chakarabarti, A. Mishra, "QoS Issues in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks", IEEE Comm. Mgz., Feb., 2001.
[8] E. Elmallah, et, al, "Supporting QoS Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks using Probabilistic Locality and Load Balancing", IEEE GLOBECOM 2001.
[9] Shigang Chen &KlaraNahrst, (1998) ".An Overview of Quality-of-Service Routing for the next Generation High Speed Networks: Problems and Solutions", IEEE Network Magazine, vol12, pp. 64 -79.
[10] M. K. Marina & S. R. Das, (2001) "On Demand Multi Path Distance Vector Routing in Ad hoc Networks", Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Network Protocols (ICNP},IEEE Computer Society Press, pp. 14-23.
[11] H.Badis and K.A.Agha, "QOLSR, QoS routing for ad hoc wireless networks using OLSR", Wiley European Trans. Telecommunications, vol.15 (4), pp.427-422, 2005.
[12] S.H.Shah, K. Nahrstedt, "Predictive location-based QoS routing in mobile ad-hoc networks", in: Proceeding of IEEE ICC 2002, vol.2, pp.1022-1027, 2002.
[13] C.R.Lin and J.S. Liu., "QoS routing in ad hoc wireless networks", IEEE J.Select. Areas Commun. vol.17, pp.1426-11438, 1999.
[14] S.Chen and K.Nahrstedt, "Distributed quality-of-service routine in ad hoc networks" IEEE J.Select. Areas Commun. vol.17, pp.1488-1505, 1999.
[15] A.R.Bashandy, E.K.P.Chong and A.Ghafoor, "Generalized quality-of-service routing with resource allocation" IEEE J.Select. Areas Commun. vol.23, pp.450-463, 2005.
[16] A.Abradou and W.Zhuang, "A position based QoS routing scheme for UWB mobile ad hoc networks" IEEE J.Select. Areas Commun. vol.24, pp.850-856, 2006.
[17] S. Chen and K. Nahrstedt, "Distributed Quality-of-Service Routing in Ad-Hoc Networks," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 17, no. 8, 1999.
[18] Qi Xue and Aura Ganz. Ad hoc QoS on-demand routing (AQOR) in mobile ad hoc networks. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 63(2):154_165, 2003.
[19] R.Sivakumar, P.Sinha and V.Bharghavan, "CEDAR: a core-extraction distributed ad hoc routing algorithm" IEEE J.Select. Areas Commun. vol.17, pp.1454-1465, 1999.
[20] LIU Jian , LI Fang-min ,2009, — An Improvement of AODV Protocol Based on Reliable Delivery in Mobile Ad hoc Networks, Fifth International Conference on Information Assurance and Security
[21] Prince Samar, Stephen B. Wicker, "On the behaviour of communication links of a node in a multi-hop mobile environment", MobiHoc 2004: 145-156
[22] T.B.ReddyI.Karthigeyan, B.Manoj and C.S.R.Murthy, "Quality of service provisioning in Ad Hoc wireless networks: a survey of issues and solutions." Vol.4, pp.83-124, 200
[23] R.L. Chunhung and L Jain shing "QoS routing in ad hoc wireless network". IEEE journal on selected area in communication {JSAC 99), Vol 17, 8 August 1999.
[24] C.E.Perkins, E.M.Royer "Quality Of service for Ad Hoc on-demand distance vector routing". IEEE Internet draft.
[25] Zheng Wang and Jon Crowcroft. Quality-of-Service Routing for Supporting Multimedia Applications. IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications, 14(7):1228_ 1234, 1996.