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Abstract: Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) are increasingly gaining impact in our day to day lives. They are finding a wide range of applications in various domains, including health-care, assisted and enhanced-living scenarios, industrial and production monitoring, control networks, and many other fields. In future, WSNs are expected to be integrated into the “Internet of Things”, where sensor nodes join the Internet dynamically, and use it to collaborate and accomplish their tasks. However, when WSNs become a part of the Internet, we must carefully investigate and analyze the issues involved with this integration. In this paper, we evaluate different approaches to integrate WSNs into the Internet and outline a set of challenges, which we target to address in the near future.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The future Internet, designed as an “Internet of Things” is foreseen to be “a world-wide network of interconnected objects uniquely addressable, based on standard communication protocols”. Identified by a unique address, any object including computers, sensors, RFID tags or mobile phones will be able to dynamically join the network, collaborate and cooperate efficiently to achieve different tasks. Including WSNs in such a scenario will open new perspectives. Covering a wide application field, WSNs can play an important role by collecting surrounding context and environment information. However, deploying WSNs configured to access the Internet raises novel challenges, which need to be tackled before taking advantage of the many benefits of such integration. The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows: We look at WSNs and the Internet holistically, in line with the vision where WSNs will be a part of an Internet of Things. Thereby, we identify representative application scenarios for WSNs (see Section II) from the multidimensional WSN design space, in order to obtain insights into issues involved with the integration. These representative application scenarios open up different schemes for integrating the WSNs into the Internet, which we present and compare in Section III. A closer investigation of the integration possibilities then helps us identify critical challenges (see Section IV), which need to be addressed if the full potential of the integration of WSNs and the Internet has to be realized. Finally, in Section V we summarize our discussion, giving pointers for possible solutions to address the identified challenges while regarding the resource limitations present in common WSN nodes.

II. SELECTED WSN APPLICATIONS

The wide wireless sensor network application field can be divided into three main categories according to: Monitoring space, monitoring objects and monitoring interactions between objects and space. The proposed classification can be extended by an additional category monitoring human beings. One example of the first category is environmental monitoring. WSNs are deployed in particular environments including glaciers, forests, and mountains in order to gather environmental parameters during long periods. Temperature, moisture or light sensor readings allow analyzing environmental phenomena, such as the influence of climate change on rockfall in permafrost areas. The second category centres on observing particular objects. Structural monitoring is one of the possible illustrations of this category. By sensing modes of vibration, acoustic emissions and responses to stimuli, mechanical modifications of bridges or buildings indicating potential breakages of the structure may be detected. Monitoring interaction between objects and space is the combination of both previous categories and includes monitoring environmental threats like floods and volcanic activities. Presenting an extension to the presented classification, the last category focuses on monitoring human beings. Worn close to the body, the deployed sensors can gather acceleration information and physiological parameters like heart beat rate. Especially in applications in the medical area, such deployments may help diagnosing bipolar patients and monitoring elderly people in a home care scenario. The proposed classification, and particularly the selected deployments, illustrates the high diversity of WSN applications in term of monitored subjects and environments. Beneficial for the Internet of Things, this important scenario diversity must however be taken into account by considering suitable approaches for the WSN integration into the Internet.
III. INTEGRATION APPROACHES

Connecting WSNs to the Internet is possible in the three main approaches mentioned, differing from the WSN integration degree into the Internet structure. Currently adopted by most of the WSNs accessing the Internet, and presenting the highest abstraction between networks, the first proposed approach (Fig. 1) consists of connecting both independent WSN and the Internet through a single gateway, would break down the connection between both WSN and the Internet. With several gateways and access points, the second and third scenarios do not present such weakness. To ensure network robustness, they would consequently be preferred, if the application supports this type of network structure. The choice between both remaining integration approaches is influenced by the WSN application scenario. Allowing to cover important distances, the second approach can be envisaged for WSNs organized in mesh topology. Accordingly, this approach would be particularly adapted to deployments belonging to the first “Monitoring space” and the second “Monitoring interactions between objects and space” in the proposed application Internet access in one-hop, the third and last approach can be adopted by WSN applications requiring low latency and therefore direct connections. Presenting mainly star topologies, WSNs can maintain such organization by having a central gateway instead of a common base station without Internet access. By considering the previous WSN application classification, this third approach can be suitable for monitoring of object and human beings, and may be employed in the deployments for example. It is important to remark that both second third integration approaches only support static network configurations. Indeed, each new device wanting to join the Internet requires time-consuming gateway reprogramming. Therefore, flexibility wanted by the future Internet of Things cannot be achieved by both approaches in their current form. To fulfill the flexibility expectation, adopting the “IP to the Field” paradigm may be appropriate. In the considered paradigm, sensor nodes are expected to be intelligent network components, which will no more be limited to sensing tasks. By transferring the intelligence to the sensor nodes, the gateway’s functionalities would be restricted to forwarding and protocol translation. Consequently, gateway reprogramming operations would no more be required and dynamic network configuration could be attained. Additionally, this shift of intelligence will open new perspectives including geographic based for example. By opening WSNs to Internet, such location proximity will no more be required and attackers would be able to threaten WSNs from everywhere. In addition to this novel location diversity, WSNs may have to address new threats like malware introduced by the Internet connection and evolving with the attacker creativity. Most current WSNs connected to the Internet are protected by a central and unique powerful gateway ensuring efficient protection. However, a direct reuse of such existing security mechanisms is made impossible by the scarce energy, memory, and computational resources of the sensor nodes. In fact, common Mica2 motes offer 7.3 MHz 8-bit microcontrollers with 128 Kbytes of reprogrammable flash memory, 4 Kbytes of RAM and 4 Kbytes of EEPROM [14]. At last, many services on the Internet make use of cryptography with large key lengths such as RSA-1024, which are not currently supported by sensor nodes. Consequently, innovative security mechanisms must be developed according to the resource constraints to protect WSNs from novel attacks originating from the Internet.

B. Quality of Service

With gateways acting only as repeater and protocol translators, sensor nodes are also expected to contribute to quality of service management by optimizing the resource utilization of all heterogeneous devices that are part of the future Internet of Things. Not considered as a weakness, the device heterogeneity opens new perspectives in terms of workload distribution. In fact, resource differences may be exploited to share the current workload between nodes offering available resources. Improving the QoS, such collaborative work is consequently promising for mechanisms requiring high amount of resources like security mechanisms. Nevertheless, the existing approaches ensuring QoS in the Internet are not applicable in WSNs, as sudden changes in the link characteristics can lead to significant reconfiguration of the WSN topology. It is therefore mandatory to find novel approaches towards ensuring delay and loss guarantees.

C. Configuration

In addition to security and QoS management, sensor nodes can also be required to control the WSN configuration, which includes covering different tasks, such as address administration to ensure scalable network constructions and ensuring self-healing capabilities by detecting and eliminating faulty nodes or managing their own configuration. However, self-configuration of participating nodes is not a common feature in the Internet. Instead, the user is expected to install applications and recover the system from crashes. In contrast, the unattended operation of autonomous sensor nodes requires novel means of network configuration and management.

IV INTEGRATION

To leverage the benefits of IOT and WSN, it is necessary to know different types of integration approaches that can be used to connect both infrastructures. Approaches can be further classified into two categories: Stack Based and topology based.
In Stack based Approach the integration between WSN and IOT requires similar type of network stack or a compatible network layer protocol to enable them to exchange information. The interaction between the external internet host and the sensor nodes happens via a centralized device such as base station. The base station routes all data stream and queries between WSN and external entities via web service interfaces. This approach is categorized as Front-End Solution.

In second approach of Stack based classification that is Gateway Solution, the base station will acts like an application layer gateway. The difference between these two approaches lies in the fact that sensor node provides web service interfaces to external entities without altering their lower layer protocol.

In the third approach, TCP/IP Solution, sensor nodes are considered to be full-fledged elements of internet. This approach uses compatible set of protocol such as 6LOWPAN in 802.15.4 networks that enables low power devices to participate actively in IOT.

In Topology based classification the actual location of the node that provides access to internet is considered for integration. This can be further classified into three categories: Independent network, hybrid network and access network. Nodes, gateways and software form the main component of WSN. The gateway acts like a network coordinator, collects measured data and bridges to the network for further processing. The above three approaches of topology based are basically classified on number of gateways used and how they connect WSN and Internet.

Independent Network consists of single gateway connecting WSN and internet. This approach is prone to network failure due to single gateway. This can be overcome by using several gateway and access point ensuring network robustness. Hybrid based is a fault tolerant approach where there are several gateways with direct access to internet. Redundancy of gateway is tolerable as compared to network failure with single gateway. The access based approach is a tree based which enables direct access to internet via single hop.

V. CONCLUSION

In this first analysis step to integrate WSNs into the Internet of Things, we have considered selected application scenarios presenting a high diversity in terms of monitored subjects and environments. By taking into account their main characteristics, we have analyzed three integration approaches and demonstrated that they were inappropriate in their current state to allow sensor nodes joining dynamically the Internet of Things. We consider applying the IP to the Field paradigm, which implies assigning additional responsibilities to the sensor nodes as an adequate solution to integrate WSNs with the Internet. We have selected three important task assignments in order to highlight the challenges emerging from the paradigm adoption: Security, QoS, and configuration management. Their analysis revealed that the solutions currently deployed in the Internet are not suitable for the limited sensor node resources and consequently, novel mechanisms have to be developed to adapt to the capabilities and constraints of WSNs. We plan to investigate existing approaches and find suitable modifications for resource-constrained sensor platforms to tackle these challenges.
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