



Study on the Actual Cost of Using Free Social Networking Sites In Terms of Privacy

G.M. Kadam¹, Akshay Chavan²

HOD, Department of Computer Engineering, SKN Sinhgad Institute of Technology and Science, Lonavala, India¹

Student, Department of Computer Engineering, SKN Sinhgad Institute of Technology and Science, Lonavala, India²

Abstract: On the internet, social networking sites (SNS) have become a common occurrence. Individuals use social networking sites (SNSs) like Facebook to present themselves and keep in touch with friends and family. The goal of this research paper is to give data from a variety of studies conducted by a variety of researchers in a variety of settings that clearly indicate the influence of social media on today's youth privacy.

Keywords: Social network, privacy, Facebook , security

I. INTRODUCTION

Social networks have grown increasingly important in human life. In the Media domain, many people have begun to share information such as text, photographs, and messages. In the Education area, there are question papers, assignments, and workshops. In the Business domain, there are online surveys, marketing, and customer targeting. Entertainment includes jokes, music, and videos. Because of its extensive use by Internet surfers in a variety of ways, We would describe social networking media as the current Internet culture. While sharing information on social media is enjoyable, it also needs a high level of security and privacy. Users' information that should be kept private should be kept private.

On the internet, everyone leaves a data trail. When someone opens a new social media account, they are required to enter personal information such as their name, birthdate, geographic location, and personal interests. Companies also collect information on user activities, such as when, where, and how users interact with their platform. Companies store and use all of this information to better target advertisements to their users. Users' data is sometimes shared with third-party organisations without their knowledge or consent.

The above-mentioned information will continue to be a source of privacy concerns. In fact, as the 2020 presidential election approaches, these attacks are expected to become more frequent. Politico reported earlier this year that large-scale disinformation tactics targeting Democratic candidates had already begun. Attackers utilising the same strategies as the Internet Research Agency's trolls are now leveraging social media data to launch a disinformation "war" aimed at perplexing and polarising Americans. Bot accounts, which employ mined data to target selected audiences, are frequently used to propagate cyber-propaganda. It's difficult to anticipate the full impact of social media attacks on the 2020 state, federal, and presidential elections.

II. PRIVACY AFFECTED

Different categories of privacy can be distinguished in order to substantiate the amount to which privacy is effectively preserved and can be compromised (Clarke 2006). This distinction is critical because the various technologies accessible today involve various forms of (potential and actual) privacy violations. The rapid evolution of technologies and applied approaches makes determining which types and dimensions of privacy are invaded by a particular technology even more difficult. Furthermore, the distinctions between these many categories are blurring. Finn et al. (2013) suggest additional dimensions to Clarke's approach in their taxonomy, naming seven sorts, including the privacy of:

- The person: the protection of body functions and characteristics, such as biometrics or genetic codes
- Behaviour and action: this type addresses the : ability to behave in public, semi-public or one's private space without having actions monitored or controlled by others. This involves: sensitive issues such as sexual preferences and habits, political activities and religious practices
- communication: the ability to communicate freely via different media and without interception including the avoidance of different forms of wiretapping and surveillance of communication



- data and image: this type involves the individual's claim that data should not be automatically available to other individuals and organisations. Individuals should have 'a substantial degree of control' over their data and its usage (Clarke 2006); image is a particular:
 - ...form of personal data can be mined for biometric data and used to identify, monitor and/or track individuals as they move about public or semi-public space. (Finn et al. 2013)
 - thoughts and feelings: this privacy type addresses a person's freedom to think and feel whatever he/she likes without restriction. This type differs from behaviour as thoughts do not necessarily translate into behaviour
 - location and space: one's right to move freely in private, public or semi-public space without being identified, tracked or monitored
 - association (including group privacy): addresses the right to associate with whoever they wish without being monitored; this also includes groupings or profiles over which one has no control (e.g. involvement in discussion groups)

Table 1 shows which privacy kinds are impacted by existing SNS usage versus emerging SNS usage.

Sr.No	Privacy Of	Common SNS usage	Emerging SNS usage
1	the person		X
2	behaviour and action communication	(X)	X
3	data and image	X	X
4	thoughts and feelings	X	X
5	location and space	(X)	X
6	association	X	X

Source: Own table based on privacy types suggested by Finn et al. (2013). X indicates that a privacy type is widely affected, (X) means that a privacy type is partially affected

Because the privacy impact varies depending on the application context, this mapping can only be general: it provides an overview rather than a rigorous assignment. Nonetheless, Table 1 shows how existing trends, future innovations, and the spread of social networking sites may exacerbate privacy concerns. A basic profile without mobile access or location-based services is referred to as common usage. Emerging usage refers to the developments discussed in this work that have the potential to broaden the range of privacy kinds affected. These are detailed in more detail below.

This classification was designed by Finn et al. (2013) based on various new technologies such as body scanners, biometrics, and unmanned aerial aircraft. It allows for a more nuanced examination of a technology's privacy implications. In our case, it serves as a useful heuristic for highlighting the growing privacy implications of emerging SNS usage.

As seen in Table 1, the widespread usage of social media sites has already impacted numerous aspects of privacy. Communication, data, and image privacy, as well as privacy of association, are the main types of privacy that have been affected thus far, as they are at the heart of any SNS usage: communicating and interacting, sharing and creating various forms of content, and interacting with other users and user groups. Personal and non-personal entities are included in the modalities of interaction on social networking sites. The links and linkages between the various entities provide a vast quantity of extra data that can reveal insights into users' thoughts and feelings, as well as their behaviour and action (e.g., due to postings on others' profiles, their interests, etc.). Some SNS features are designed to entice users to divulge more information by asking direct questions such as 'how are you feeling?', 'what are you doing?', and so on. New features like the "like" button and other social plugins provide users a better understanding of their interests and preferences. As a result, these sorts of privacy are impacted as well, though not to the same degree as the other three. Impacts in this area are projected to expand and extend beyond the SNS environment as usage and extension to other devices increases.

III. BREACH OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE

The user credentials are analogous to a social contract in which users trade their own data for monetary or nonmonetary advantages, which is a major drawback of privacy issues. It is self-evident that prudent users will continue to be interested in such a social contract as long as the benefits outweigh the current and future risks of exposure. The suggestion is



consistent with the concept, which states that people make decisions that allow them to gain the most benefits while spending the least amount of money. It has been programmed to take advantage of the users' preferences in order to divulge the information they have provided on social networking sites.

Since the intended purpose is to study the effects of intrinsic benefits, the divulgence goal is divided into two parts: one assesses the user's pre-reward readiness to reveal, and the other measures their prize-driven capacity to reveal. Because intrinsic-extrinsic qualification did not occur in earlier works, it was assumed that revelation purpose could be determined solely by crucial free evolves.

IV. TRUST MANAGEMENT AND ISSUES

Self-disclosure is a requirement for online self, but it also reduces privacy by increasing the amount of online data available to diverse clients; the links between these builds appear to be influenced by crucial variables like as trust and control [5]. The belief that people, gatherings, or establishments may be trusted is referred to as trust. It frequently has an antagonistic relationship with security, in light of the fact that people need to know information about others in order to trust them, which has a positive impact on online self-exposure. However, because the internet world is regarded as fragile, the progression of confidence in an online domain is uncertain. As a result, a number of studies have focused on people's willingness to reveal information based on both trust and protection. The perceived power over data is an important feature that can have an impact on this perplexing relationship. Word check, specially built items, and prepared raters, for example, are frequently used to measure online self-divulgence, and changes of instruments designed for up close and personal correspondence are frequently employed to gauge online trust.

IV. CONCLUSION

SNS are a noteworthy example of a far-reaching transformation of the public-private, online-offline, and online-offline spheres. Traditionally discrete (online) application contexts are merging, and previously "analogue" settings are progressively reaching out. The separation between personal information and user content is also strained by complex modalities of information processing (partially via cloud infrastructures). This conflation is aided by integrated services and technologies. Given the fast increasing number of social media users, these trends have a wide range of societal implications and raise privacy concerns. This results in a further loss of ISD, which is compounded by a variety of undefined usage circumstances. With the number of contexts growing, a fundamental difficulty for better privacy protection can be identified: how to disconnect contexts and linked places clearly. If privacy is not to be "lost in this conflation," it must be reconsidered as a public good in a way that lowers commercial exploitation of personal data while not placing exclusive responsibility for data security on users. As a result, policymakers are challenged to encourage the adoption of PbD features in conjunction with techniques that improve user knowledge of privacy concerns. This helps to maintain ISD while also boosting controllability over usage scenarios that process personal data. Strengthening the role of Data Protection Agencies in their job of scrutinising privacy protection in SNS environments in terms of the efficiency of PbD features is also a key component of reviving privacy as a public value.

REFERENCES

1. Bonneau, J., Anderson, J., Anderson, R. and Stajano, F. (2009) 'Eight friends are enough: Social graphs approximation via public listings', SNS 2009, 13–8 <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~rja14/Papers/8_friends_paper.pdf> accessed 10 July 2013.
2. Boyd, D. M. (2007a) 'Why youth (heart) social network sites: The role of networked publics in teenage social life'. In: Buckingham, D. (ed.) MacArthur Foundation Series on Digital Learning – Youth, Identity, and Digital Media Volume, pp. 119–42. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. (2007b) 'Social network sites: Public, private, or what?'. Knowledge Tree, 13, May <<http://www.danah.org/papers/KnowledgeTree.pdf>> accessed 10 July 2013. and Ellison, N. B. (2007) 'Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship', Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13: 210–30.
3. Cain, J., Scott, D. R. and Akers, P. (2009) 'Pharmacy students' Facebook activity and opinions regarding accountability and e-professionalism', American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 73/6: Article 104 <<http://www.ajpe.org/aj7306/aj7306104/aj7306104.pdf>> accessed 10 July 2013.
4. Solove, D. (2006) 'A taxonomy of privacy', University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 154: 477–560.
5. Steinfield, C., Ellison, N. B. and Lampe, C. (2008) 'Social capital, self-esteem, and use of online social network sites: A longitudinal analysis', Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 29: 434–45.
6. Strauß, S. (2011) 'The limits of control – (Governmental) identity management from a privacy perspective'. In: Fischer-Hübner, S., Duquenoy, P., Hansen, M., Leenes, R. and Zhang, G. (eds) Privacy and Identity Management



for Life - IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology - AICT vol. 352, pp. 206–18. Berlin: Springer.

7. Trenz, H. J. (2008) 'In search of the European public sphere. Between normative overstretch and empirical disenchantment', RECON Online Working Paper 2008/07 <http://www.reconproject.eu/main.php/RECON_wp_0807.pdf?fileitem=16662548> accessed 10 July 2013.
8. Uchida, C. (2010) 'A national discussion on predictive policing: Defining our terms and mapping successful implementation strategies'. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, <<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/230404.pdf>> accessed 10 July 2013.
9. Valkenburg, P. M., Peter, J. and Schouten, A. P. (2006) 'Friend networking sites and their relationship to adolescents' well-being and social self-esteem', *CyberPsychology and Behavior*, 9: 584–90.
10. Wanhoff, T. (2011) *Wa(h)re Freunde - Wie sich unsere Beziehungen in sozialen Online-Netzwerken verändern*. Heidelberg, Germany: Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.
11. Wimmer, J. (2009) 'The publics behind political web campaigning. The digital transformation of "classic" counter-public spheres'. In: Baringhorst, S., Kneip, V. and Niesyto, J. (eds) *Political Campaigning on the Web*, pp. 31–51. Bielefeld, Germany: Transcript Verlag.