

Cloud Cost Analyser and Price Reduction Recommendation

Yusuf bardolia¹, Ishwari Bijja², Pornima Shirsat³, Moin Talsulkar⁴, Richa Agrawal⁵

Student, Trinity College of Engineering and Research, Pune, India¹⁻⁴

Assistant Professor, Trinity College of Engineering and Research, Pune, India⁵

Abstract— "Cloud Computing" approach is considered as tightly coupled with low cost or cost efficiency approach. Reduction of cost is considered as an important advantage of Cloud. However, there are no proper tools are available for cost calculation and analysis in Cloud environment. This paper introduces our efforts taken to filling in the gap. Our approach namely 'Cost Effective Resource Provisioning Approach' is the platform that guide to minimize the overall cloud spend by analysing the mismanaged resources, eliminating waste, reserving capacity for higher discounts, and appropriate sizing for computing services as well.

The key role of 'Resource Provisioning' is to assist the businesses for analysing how much they are spending on services regarding the cloud computing. It notifies the cloud user by providing the alerts through regular emails; showing the calculations of monthly estimate to date. It also helps to comprehend the when cost overages are happening (not after the fact). The key motivation in implementing this proposed work is to create private cloud (test bed) by applying (Amazon Account) as well as by monitoring analytical resources that mainly includes RAM, CPU, memory, bandwidth, partition information, information and etc. about running process, the utilization and so on. Also, it recommends the price reduction strategy. The system also enables optimum utilization of cloud resources.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, cloud computing [1][2] [4]has become very popular and been accepted by both enterprise users and personal users since it can provide economical, scalable, and elastic access to computing resources over the Internet. Cloud Computing providers offer more services to their clients ranging from infrastructure as a service (IaaS)[8], platform as a service (PaaS), software as a service (SaaS), workflow-as-a-service (WaaS). The purpose of providers is to exploit returns by their price schemes, while the main goal of customers is to have the quality of services (QoS) for a reasonable price [5].

Computing outsourcing provides great elasticity [1], flexibility[2] and scalability of resources. It minimizes client-side management overheads and benefit from a service provider's global expertise consolidation and bulk pricing, and helps users avoid the capital expense in acquiring computing resources. Cloud computing can reduce costs while enabling greater business agility and flexibility [2].

The key characteristics of cloud computing are the ability to scale resources practically infinitely, the capability to pay only when a resource is actually used [2], and the elimination of large upfront costs for users. In addition, low prices and ease of use encourage enterprises to utilize cloud computing to host their IT infrastructure [4]. Every cloud provider has a different pricing approach; yet, for computing resources, they offer two categories of products: ondemand instances and reserved instances. On-demand instances are virtual machines created and paid for only when utilized.

The main purpose of the system is to create private cloud (test bed) by using (Amazon Account) along with monitoring critical resources like RAM, CPU, memory, bandwidth, partition information, running process information and utilization and swap usages etc. We build up a system that monitors VMs (EC2 Instances) on private clouds like Amazon or Google and provides solutions to decrease infrastructure cost from the customer's point of view.



Impact Factor 7.39
∺ Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Yeoa et al.[6] analyzed the difference between fixed and variable prices. Fixed prices were easier to recognize and clear-cut for users. However, fixed price could not be fair to all users because not all users had the same needs. The proposed charging variable prices with the sophisticated condition, where users know the exact charges that are computed at the time of reservation even though they were based on variable prices.

A] Title- Monetary cost optimizations for hosting Workflow-as-a-Service in IaaS Clouds.

We've recently seen that functions flow from research and other data-intensive applications are increasing on Infrastructure-as-a-Service (IaaS) clouds, with a large number of progress service providers providing Workflow-as-a-Service (WaaS). The key aim of WaaS providers is to reduce the financial impact of death penalty workflows in IaaS clouds.

The sort of virtual machines (instances) used has a big influence on the project's cost and performance. As a result, we conclude that WaaS providers should consider delivering probabilistic production guarantees for specific workflows to expressly report the accuracy and value of their services. Dyna provides a hybrid instance configuration refinement for victimization spot instances, as well as an A-based instance configuration approach for performance dynamics. Experiments with three scientific advancement programmers on Amazon EC2 and a cloud machine show the following: (1) The Dyna has a core feature in that it is flexible in meeting the users' probabilistic point assurances.

(2) as opposed to current methods, the productivity of compressing financial value.

What they have proposed?

1) At the time of the Software-as-a-Service division of cloud computing, there is an approximate criterion for WaaS (Workflow-as-a-Service) perception.

2) The selective success in shot is assured, implying that the complex deadlines are met.

What we have referred?

1) The concept of the settled deadlines is used to perform the static task execution.

2) Thus for given dynamic nature task of elastic cloud computing there need tend for additional rigorous notion for deadlines.

3) By selecting the acceptable form of instance and dynamicity of progress there is the Value improvement as well.

Li et. Al. [7] proposed a pricing algorithm for cloud computing resources. Authors proposed the cloud bank agent model as a resource agency from a global perspective, which provides analysis and guidance for all members.

Amelie Chi Zhou et al. [8] presents a scheduling system known as Dyna to minimize the expected monetary cost given the user-specified probabilistic deadline guarantees. Dyna includes an A*-based instance configuration method for performance dynamics and a hybrid instance configuration refinement for using spot instances. Experimental results with three scientific workflow applications on Amazon EC2 and a cloud simulator shows (1) the capacity of Dyna on satisfying the probabilistic deadline guarantees required by the users; (2) the efficiency on reducing monetary cost in comparison with the existing approaches.

Subhas Chandra Misra et al. [9] gives a framework for helping companies analyze several characteristics of their own business as well as pre-existing IT resources to identify their favorability in the migration to the Cloud Architecture. A general Return on Investment (ROI) model considers various intangible impacts of Cloud Computing, apart from the cost. The analysis presented herein provides a much broader perspective and insight into Cloud Computing to its prospective adopters.



DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

Guoxin Liu et al.[3] provide a model to decrease the payment cost of clients and at the same time is guarantee their SLOs (service level objective) with the globally distributed data centers belonging to different CSPs with different resource unit prices. The cost minimization problem can be solved by using integer programming.

C] Title- Minimum-Cost Cloud Storage Service Across Multiple Cloud Providers [IEEE 2017]

Liu et al. [3] provides a model to decrease the payment value of shoppers and at constant time is guarantee their SLOs (service level objective) with the globally distributed information canters belonging to totally different CSPs with different resource unit costs.

Advantage: - The drawback of price minimization will be overcome by applying victimization whole number programming.

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

Every cloud provider has different pricing strategies for computing resources. In the course of a cloud, implementation users have the flexibility to choose the EC2 instance type that provides the appropriate mix of resources for the target application and workload. They apply charges on the basis of resource utilization, but it is very high.

The main purpose of the system is to create private cloud (test bed) by using (Amazon Account) along with monitoring critical resources like RAM, CPU, memory, bandwidth, partition information, running process information and utilization and swap usages etc. Also, recommend the price reduction strategy. Figure 1 shows the architecture of the proposed system.



Figure 1: System Architecture

• The proposed system which cans monitor VMs (EC2 Instances) on private clouds such as Amazon or Google and offers solutions to decrease infrastructure cost. Resource Monitoring of Cloud Nodes:

• **Resource Monitoring of Cloud Nodes:** User should be able to view CPU and RAM usage utilization of Amazon ec2 nodes. CPU and RAM utilization statistics should be dynamic and should refresh every second.

• Select Cloud Plans for popular clouds like Amazon: Cost of service depends on the region of the server, memory usage, CPU etc. Cloud service providers charge for the services like Storage Pricing, Request Pricing, Storage Management Price, CPU prizing which need to be added in the system.

IJARCCE



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 7.39 💥 Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

a.	Storage – Pricing	b.	Request Pricing
с.	Storage Management Price		
		d.	CPU pricing

- By considering the following parameters the VM is monitored account wise
- a. CPUUtilization
- b. DiskReadBytes
- c. DiskWriteBytes
- d. NetworkIn
- e. NetworkOut
- f. StatusCheck

• Finally propose an efficient resource utilization By suggesting memory cutdown, CPU cutdown, storage cutdown. The key benefit of cloud computing is based on some components like elasticity to extend and IT infrastructure depending on the enterprise needs. To estimate the output of the complete system, It is essential to perform load balancing on the test bed as well as compute the need of some resources like Storage Pricing, CPU pricing, Request Pricing, and Storage Management Price. This outcome contains a multiple number of purchaser and agent.



IV. ALGORITHM USED

A. <u>RSA Algorithm</u>

The keys for the RSA algorithm are generated the following way:

Choose two distinct prime numbers p and q.

For security purposes, the integers p and q should be chosen at random, and should be similar in magnitude but 'differ in length by a few digits to make factoring harder. Prime integers can be efficiently found using a primality test. Compute n = pq.

n is used as the modulus for both the public and private keys. Its length, usually expressed in bits, is the key length.



Impact Factor 7.39 💥 Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

Compute $\varphi(n) = \varphi(p)\varphi(q) = (p-1)(q-1) = n - (p+q-1)$, where φ is Euler's totient function. This value is kept private.

Choose an integer e such that $1 \le e \le \varphi(n)$ and $gcd(e, \varphi(n)) = 1$; i.e., e and $\varphi(n)$ are coprime.

Determine d as $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$; i.e., d is the modular multiplicative inverse of e (modulo $\phi(n)$) This is more clearly stated as: solve for d given $d \cdot e \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ e having a short bit-length and small Hamming weight results in more efficient encryption – most commonly 216 + 1 = 65,537. However, much smaller values of e (such as 3) have been shown to be less secure in some settings.

e is released as the public key exponent.

d is kept as the private key exponent.

The public key consists of the modulus n and the public (or encryption) exponent e. The private key consists of the modulus n and the private (or decryption) exponent d, which must be kept secret. p, q, and $\varphi(n)$ must also be kept secret because they can be used to calculate d.

RSA Algorithm

B) SVM (Support Vector Machine)

• SVM is a powerful classifier that is able to distinguish two classes. SVM classifies the test image in to the class with highest distance up to the neighboring point in the training.

• SVM training algorithm built a model that predict whether the test image fall into this class or another.

• SVM necessitate a vast training data to decide a decision boundary and computing cost is very high although we are using single pose (frontal) detection.

• The SVM is a learning algorithm for classification which attempt to discover the finest distinguishing hyper plane which minimize the error for unseen patterns. Distinguishing Hyper Plane To Minimize The Error

• The data which cannot be distinguished the input is mapped to high dimensional attribute space where they can be separated by a hyper projection is well performed by means of kernels. Separating Hyper Plane By Equation

• If training set of samples and the equivalent resultant values {-1, 1}. So SVM intend to get the best separating hyper plane specified by the equation WTx+b that make use of the distance between the two classes as shown in above figure.



V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The proposed method can monitor EC2 Instances on private clouds with reduced infrastructure cost. The system can also help to optimal utilization of cloud resources. In proposed system threshold values are dynamically updated and Inactive User count is decreased.

IJARCCE



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 7.39 $\,\, \thickapprox \,\,$ Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

Q Bing	K Fwd: Implementation	paper and x	(4) What	atsApp		x 🛐 Instances EC	C2 Management Cor	× D. AWS Cloudability	× +	\sim	- 0	x t	
← → C ☆ () localhost	8080/AwsCloudability/page	s/index.jsp								ie' ☆	r 🔲	🕐 :	
HELLO USER_US		BILITY								Lo	gout 🕩	Â	
MAIN	Home												
🗟 Dash board	Dealtheard												
Amazon EC2	Dashboard											- 1	
Lui Volumes	CPU Utilization A	II Nodes					EBS N	lemoryReads NodeWise					
I Overvall Usage													
	Chart, js Bar Chart - Multi Axis Node 0 108ecdp278c:2e08e5 Node 2 10a7tea46922855443 Hode 3 1 0899ec1987607a10 Hode 3 1 0899ec							Chart. Ja Bar Chart - Multif Axis Node 0 1-08ecda278c2e08c6 Node 11-097a70c925110000e Node 2 1-0976c4082605043 Node 3 1-0890cc196876d7a10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					
	Decision						EC2 D	istribution					
2021_Trinity_Clodocx ^	2021_Trinity_Impld	ocx A									Show	all ×	
+ Q Type here to search		0 4	i	A N	0	🗃 🐔 🚞		🧟 👩 🚳	34°C ^ do) \$23	a 🚔 ENG	13:41	Β.	
											2 See 1 See 2112	12 22	
											23-03-202	22 2	
Q Bing	🛛 附 Fwd: Implementation j	paper and 🛛 🗙 🎽	(4) Wha	atsApp		× 🧿 Instances EC	C2 Management Cor	X D AWS Cloudability	× +	~	- 0	22 7 2) J X	
Q Bing >> ← → C ☆ © localhost: HELLO USER_US	K ₩ Fwd: Implementation (8080/AwsCloudability/page , 2 ³⁴⁹ , 4 ³⁴⁹ , 5 ³⁴⁹	paper and X	ර (4) Wha -ල ^{දැම} ් ද	atsApp B ^{F®V} Ot ¹⁰⁰	Orthon &	× instances EC	C2 Management Co	× AWS Cloudability	× +	Berther Berther	- c	22 7 2) D X (V) :	
Q Bing → C ☆ © localhost HELLO USER_US US cost-1 MAIN	t M Fwd: Implementation 8080/AwsCloudability/page ,2 ²⁰⁰ ,2 ²⁰⁰ ,2 ³⁰⁰	paper and x	9 (4) Wha	atsApp B ^{F®V} OP ^{M®}	o ^{una} 8	× instances EC	C2 Management Co	X D AWS Cloudability	× +	~ (2) 50 ⁻¹⁰¹ (2) 50 ⁻¹⁰¹ (2)	- c	22 7 2) D X V i	
Q. Bing > ← → C △ O localhost: HELLO USER_US US 0451-1 > > MAIN → Dash board	Book Aws-Cloudability/page	s/index.jsp	 (4) What رواب المحمد المحم المحمد المحمد الم	atsApp	Orthon &	× enitances EC	C2 Management Co	X AWS Cloudability	× +	A EN	- C	22 7 2 3 × () :	
Q Bing C O localhost HELLO USER_US US costh MAIN Dash board Amazon EC2	 Fwd: Implementation (Southead Strength Strengt Strength Strength Strength Strength Strength Strength Stren	s/index.jsp	9 (4) Wha	atsApp	d ^{ande} d	× i instances [EC	C2 Management Co C2 ³⁹⁹ EC2 D	× D AWS Cloudability	× +	Barphin Barphin	- C	22 °C)	
Q. Bing ← → C LID USER_US UP-cast-1 MAIN M Dash board Mazon EC2 M Volumes	R M Fwd: Implementation 8080/AwsCloudability/page 0;9 ¹⁰ s ² 9 ¹⁰ s ² 9 ¹⁰	spaper and x	 (4) What رونه کې رونه کې	atsApp St ^{ady} St ^{ade}	d ^{raff} ð	x i instances EC	C2 Management Co	 AWS Cloudsbillity والله المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي والله المحالي محالي المحالي المحالي المحالي محالي المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي المحالي محالي محالي	x +	~ 43. ¹⁹⁹⁰ - 53. ¹⁹⁹⁰	- C	22 72	
Q. Bing > ← → C. Q. Q. localhost HELLO USER_US Use cost=1 MAIN @ Dash board @ Amazon EC2 I Volumes III Overvali Usage	K Feet: Implementation (Store) Aws/Cloudability/page Store Couldability/page Decision ce ID e19f876d7a10	Apper and X 1 st/index.jsp 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	(4) Wha $\sqrt[3]{5}^{5^{0^{+}}} \sqrt[3]{5}^{5^{0^{+}}} \sqrt[3]{5}^{5^{0^{+}}} \sqrt[3]{5}^{5^{0^{+}}} \sqrt[3]{5^{0^{+}}} \sqrt[3]$	atsApp Br ^{dar} Br ^{han} Network 5378.0	3 ^{24³⁰} 3 Volume 307.0	x i linstances JEC	C2 Management Co C2 ⁹⁸ EC2 D	x D AWS Cloudability xy ³² /b xy ³² /b xy ³² /b xy ³² /b istribution E 2022.05.24 00.00 2021.03.12 00.00	× + App ² /4 ² App ² /4 ² App ² /4 ² C2 Distribution 2021-03-12 00 00 (0000 2021-03-19 00 00 (الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	- 0	2 72	
Q. Bing > ← → C O localhost HELLO USER_US Usecst-1 MAIN Image: Destination of the second secon	Feed: Implementation 8080/AwsCloudability/page 20 ³⁹ 20 ³⁹ 20 ³⁹ 20 ⁴⁹ 20 ⁴⁹ Decision ce ID e19f876d7a10 a278cc2e08c6	Dapper and X I ss/index/sp	 (4) What (5) (4) What (4) What (5) (4) What (6) (4) What (7) (4) What<	Network 5378.0 8496.0	307.0 1265.0	x i instances EC	C2 Management Co	x X AWS Cloudability x,2xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	x + http://www.com/ww	ب الأ يوني الأ يوني الأ الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الألب الم	- C		
Q. Bing > C △ ○ localhost HELLO USER_US Use cast 1 MAIN △ Dash board △ Arnazon EC2 L Volumes III Overvall Usage	Fwd: Implementation (1996)	Dapper and X I sta/index.jsp	(4) What	atsApp \$	307.0 384.0	x i instances EC y ^{gg} gy ^{gg} r ¹ y ^{gg} Decision Allocate Deallocate Optimal Use	C2 Management Co	AWS Cloudability Aws Cloudability Autor of the second sec	x + by by by by constrained by		- C		
Q. Bing C Q. localhost HELLO USER_US CS-cost1 MAIN MAIN Image: Amazon EC2 Image: Amazon EC2 Image: Overvall Usage Image: Amazon EC2	Feed: Implementation BOBB/Aws/Cloudability/page 0080/Aws/Cloudability/page 25 ⁰⁰ 25 ⁰⁰ Decision	xapper and x x st/index.jsp	(4) What	atsApp \$\$^\$\$\$" Network 5378.0 8496.0 32144.0 407897.0	307.0 384.0 495.0	x and a second	EC2 D	x D AWS Cloudsbillity xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	x + sp ² s	بر الح الم	- 0		
Q. Bing > C. △ ○ localhost HELLO USER_US Useast-1 MAIN △ Dash board △ Arnazon EC2 W Volumes III Overvall Usage	► W Fwd: Implementation 8080/AwsCloudability/page 20 ³⁹ x ²⁹⁹ s ²⁹⁹ s ²⁹⁹ Decision ce ID e191876d7a10 a278cc2e08c6 0c925f10000e 34d62c856443 •	Dapper and X I sta/index/sp	(4) What	Attack of the second se	307.0 384.0 495.0	x instances EC y is gy is results Decision Allocate Deallocate Deallocate , instances EC	E2 Management Co	AWS Cloudability Aws and a set of the set of	x + by by by by constraints of the second s		- 0		
Q. Bing > C. D. localhost HELLO USER_US Usesst1 MAIN Dash board Arnazon EC2 LM Volumes Ellio Overvall Usage	Implementation 8080/AwsCloudability/page 2009/AwsCloudability/page 2009/AwsCloudability/page 2009/2009/2009/2009/2009/2009/2009/2009	Dapper and X Y ss/index/sp	(4) What	atsApp \$	307.0 384.0 495.0	x i instances EC y is gyr v vyr Decision Allocate Deallocate Deallocate	EC2 D	x AWS Cloudability Jar AWS Cloudability istribution E 2022-05-24 0000 2021-03-12 0000	x +	2000 2000	- c		
Q. Bing Image: Contract of the second s	Implementation 8000/Aws/Cloudability/page 0000/Aws/Cloudability/page 8500	Apper and X Y st/index.jsp	(4) What	http://www.com/withub	2 ³⁴⁹⁹ 3 Volume 307.0 1265.0 384.0 495.0	x Sintances EC y Sintances	EC2 D	x AWS Cloudability x,x,y,y x,y,y istribution	x +		- C		

IJARCCE

IJARCCE

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 7.39 ∺ Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216



II. CONCLUSION:

The success of any application is depending on factors like ease of use, reliability and product image. The proposed system can monitors system performance in terms of RAM, CPU, memory bandwidth.

Cost optimization is a major concern in cloud computing as owners of large IT infrastructures have to pay a large cost for resource utilization. The infrastructure cost can be reduced from the customer's point of view by monitoring the VM node on the private cloud.

Cloud computing is a method of gaining access to computing services that is gaining popularity. Despite the fact that cloud computing is usually less expensive than running a physical data centre, owners of vast and complex IT infrastructure could face significant costs. As a result, value optimization in cloud computing is becoming increasingly important.

This framework investigates the issue of cloud computing price optimization. We often assess the resource observance's success as well. This approach keeps an eye on the VM node in the private cloud in order to reduce infrastructure costs for the user.

REFERENCES

[1] Keith R. Jackson, Krishna Muriki, Shane Canon, Shreyas Cholia, John Shalf Harvey J. Wasserman, and Nicholas J. Wrig, "Performance Analysis of High Performance Computing Applications on the Amazon Web Services Cloud", 2nd IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science.

[2] Amelie Chi Zhou, Bingsheng He and Cheng Liu Nanyang Technological University"Monetary Cost Optimizations for Hosting Workflow-as-a- Service in IaaS Clouds",IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CLOUD COMPUTING, VOL. X, NO. X, AUGUST 2014.

[3] https://cloudcheckr.com/cloud-cost-management/cloud-cost-management-cost-optimization-best-practices-for-reducing-cloud-bills/

[4] H. Li, J. Liu and G. Tang, A Pricing Algorithm for Cloud Computing Resources, Proc. Int. Conference on Network Computing and Inform. Security, 2011.

[5] Amelie Chi Zhou, Bingsheng He and Cheng Liu Nanyang Technological University,"Monetary Cost Optimizations for Hosting Workflow-as-a-Service in IaaS Clouds",IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CLOUD COMPUTING, VOL. X, NO. X, AUGUST 2014.



Impact Factor 7.39 💥 Vol. 11, Issue 4, April 2022

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2022.114216

[6] Sunimal Rathnayake ; Lavanya Ramapantulu ; Yong Meng Teo , "Cost-Time Performance of Scaling Applications on the Cloud" , 2018 IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science (CloudCom).

[7] Subhas Chandra Misra *, Arka Mondal1 "Identification of a company's suitability for the adoption of cloud computing and modelling its corresponding Return on Investment.

[8] Xinhui Li, Ying Li, Tiancheng Liu, Jie Qiu, Fengchun Wang ,"The Method and Tool of Cost Analysis for Cloud Computing", 2009 IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing.

[9] Ryan Chard,Kyle Chard,Rich Wolski,Ravi Madduri,Bryan Ng and Kris Bubendorfer,Ian Foster,"Cost-Aware Cloud Profiling, Prediction, and Provisioning as a Service",PUBLISHED BY THE IEEE COMPUTER SOCIET, 2017.
 [10] Keith R. Jackson,Krishna Muriki,Shane Canon, Shreyas Cholia, John Shalf Harvey J.

[11] Wasserman, and Nicholas J. Wrig, "Performance Analysis of High Performance Computing Applications on the Amazon Web Services Cloud", 2nd IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science.

[12] Alexandru Iosup, Member, IEEE, Simon Ostermann, Nezih Yigitbasi, Member, IEEE, Radu Prodan, Member, IEEE, Thomas Fahringer, Member, IEEE, and Dick Epema, Member, IEEE"Performance Analysis of Cloud Computing Services for Many-Tasks Scientific Computing", IEEE TPDS, MANY-TASK COMPUTING, NOVEMBER 2010.

[13] Guoxin Liu and Haiying Shen, Senior Member, IEEE, Member, ACM"MinimumCost Cloud Storage Service Across Multiple Cloud Providers", IEEE/ACM TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORKING, 2017.

[14] Fernando Koch, Marcos D. Assun ç ao, Marco A. S. Nett,"A Cost Analysis of Cloud Computing for Education".

[15] Aviv Kaufmann and Kerry Dolan, ESG LabAnalysts,"Price Comparison: Google Cloud Platform vs. Amazon Web Services",June2015.

[16] Guoxin Liu and Haiying Shen, Senior Member, IEEE, Member, ACM, "Minimum-Cost Cloud Storage Service Across Multiple Cloud Providers", IEEE/ACM TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORKING 2017.

[17] Derrick Kondo1, Bahman Javadi1, Paul Malecot1, Franck Cappello1, David P. Anderson2,"Cost-Benefit Analysis of Cloud Computing versus Desktop Grids".

[18] Artan Mazrekaj,Besmir Sejdiu,Isak Shabani,"Pricing Schemes in Cloud Computing: An Overview"(IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications,Vol. 7, No. 2, 201680| P a g ewww.ijacsa.thesai.org.

[19] C. S. Yeoa, S. Venugopalb, X. Chua and R. Buyyaa, Autonomic Metered Pricing for a Utility Computing Service, Future Generation Computer Syst., vol. 26, no. 8, 2010.

[20] H. Li, J. Liu and G. Tang, A Pricing Algorithm for Cloud Computing Resources, Proc. Int. Conference on Network Computing and Inform. Security, 2011.

[21] Bhupesh Kumar Dewangan, Amit Agrawal, Tanupriya Choudhary, Ashutosh Pasricha ,"Cloud Resource Optimization System Based On Time and Cost,International Journal Of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences, Vol.5, No. 4 758-768, 2020

[22] Dalia Abdulkareem Shafiq, Noor Zaman Jhanjhi, Azween Abdullah And Mohammed A. Alzain, "A Load Balancing Algorithm For The Data Centres To Optimize Cloud Computing Applications", 2021

[23] Mohammad Reza Mesbahi, Amir Masoud Rahmani and Mehdi Hosseinzadeh "Reliability and high availability in cloud computing environments", 2018

[24] Yusuf Bardolia,Ishwari Bijja,Pornima Shirsat,Moin Talsulkar,"Cloud Resource Optimizer and recommendation:A Survey"https://www.jetir.org/authorhome,2022