IJARCCE ISSN (O) 2278-1021, ISSN (P) 2319-5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 8.102 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 13, Issue 10, October 2024

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2024.131001

Knowledge Management in Healthcare: Enhancing Clinical Outcomes Through Effective Knowledge Sharing

Elaine Kansiime Pamela[1], Jairus Odawa Malenje[2]

Director ODeL, Adventist University of Africa, Private Bag Mbagathi, 00503 Nairobi, Kenya[1]

Lecturer, Masinde Muliro university of science and Technology P. O. BOX 190-50100, Kakamega, Kenya [2]

Abstract: Myriad challenges exist in the healthcare sector, including personnel shortages, increasing costs, the need for timely decision-making, big data, competition, lack of proper infrastructure, and many others. While the sector is growing, knowledge management is emerging as a critical field that will assist in dealing with these existing and emerging challenges to improve outcomes and performance. A functional knowledge management framework is expected to improve productivity, quality, and patient care by offering the correct information to the right people at the right time. This paper analyzes the function of knowledge management in the healthcare sector, accentuating the methods through which it enhances clinical outcomes. The study provides insights into the best practices and challenges that healthcare organizations have experienced in adopting knowledge management strategies successfully through evaluating the literature in the domain and identifying various information-sharing techniques. The outcomes from this systematic review of literature point to benefits that can be drawn through the proper use of knowledge management in reducing errors in medication, improving collaboration, and supporting knowledge sharing.

Keywords: Knowledge management, clinical outcomes, healthcare organizations, knowledge sharing.

I. INTRODUCTION

The healthcare sector stands out as one of the most critical and sensitive sectors in the world. It is marked by constant change and intense pressure to provide high-quality patient care [1]. Healthcare sector and stakeholders face the challenge of managing resources efficiently, cutting costs, and improving clinical results due to growing populations, advances in medical technology, and an increasing volume of patient data. Shortages of staff, high running costs, and the need to make clinical decisions quickly are the tip of the iceberg in healthcare institutions' challenges [2]. The sector is also marked with struggles with limited infrastructure, growth in technology that attracts high costs for automation, and an ever growing complexity of diseases.

Knowledge management (KM) is emerging as an essential practice and solution to tackling these issues. Knowledge management (KM) has become the systematic process of generating, disseminating, utilizing, and overseeing information and knowledge inside a company. Effective knowledge management (KM) is a crucial practice in the healthcare sector as it promises to enhance decision-making, foster practitioner collaboration, lower medication errors, and improve patient outcomes [3]. Knowledge management frameworks facilitate faster decision-making by healthcare practitioners by improving their access to vital information. This is especially key in a high-stakes clinical milieu where time is of the essence [4].

Assessing KM's fundamental tenets is key to fully appreciating its potential in the healthcare sector. These comprise the "human capital" that spurs knowledge generation and sharing, such as communities of practice and knowledge champions [5]. The "processes" for corroborating, keeping, and sharing knowledge—such as case studies, best practice methodologies, and after-action reviews—are just as critical. Lastly, "technology" is an important piece of the equation in knowledge management (KM), with tools such as clinical decision support systems, online platforms, and electronic health records (EHRs) facilitating accessibility and collaboration of information [6].

Examples from real-world situations show how knowledge management (KM) may be used to achieve concrete goals. For instance, clinics have developed communities of practice to exchange best practices for managing chronic diseases, while hospitals have effectively used knowledge bases to lower prescription errors. Telehealth platforms use knowledge management (KM) to provide consistent care in geographically disparate sites [7]. These are all indications of how knowledge management (KM) can lead to better health outcomes, patient safety, and care coordination.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.102 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 13, Issue 10, October 2024
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2024.131001

This study assesses the efficacy of knowledge management (KM) frameworks in solving dire issues in the healthcare sector by promoting smooth knowledge exchange. The study interrogates how knowledge management (KM) could enhance clinical outcomes through harnessing information exchange, teamwork, and evidence-based decision-making. The ultimate objective is to show that a structured approach to knowledge management (KM) improves operational efficiency and patient outcomes, raising the standard of healthcare delivery.

II. METHODOLOGY

This study is hinged on frameworks that promote clinical outcomes, inferring from the vast literature on knowledge management in the healthcare sector.

A systematic review of literature was assumed to ensure that the most pertinent papers on healthcare knowledge management (KM) were included. This systematic literature review started by outlining the research's ppurpose and range. The secondary goals were to examine best practices for effective knowledge sharing and identify obstacles healthcare organizations experience when implementing KM methods.

The literature search included peer-reviewed journal publications, case studies in healthcare organizations, conference proceedings on healthcare and knowledge management, and best practices recorded by healthcare organizations and knowledge management agencies.

The literature search was confined to 10-15 years old articles to ensure the study included the most recent discoveries and breakthroughs.

The following databases were considered: PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect.

Additionally, databases focusing on healthcare management were utilized for particular case studies.

This systematic review of the literature arrived at the relevant articles through well-refined search criteria. Unique terms were used to address the issue of identifying relevant articles. Relevant literature was identified using a well-defined search technique. Keywords used included: "Clinical Outcomes and Knowledge Sharing" and "Knowledge Management in Healthcare," "Healthcare Knowledge Management Frameworks," "Healthcare Decision Making," "KM in Patient Care and Collaboration," "Medical Error Reduction through KM," and "Interoperability in Healthcare KM," "Healthcare Knowledge Management Best Practices."

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows; Peer-reviewed journal article, Publications from the past ten to fifteen years, Studies directly related to knowledge management (KM) in healthcare, Case studies demonstrating successful KM implementation, English articles, Non-peer-reviewed articles, Studies focusing solely on technical aspects without application to healthcare, Studies completed and published before 10 years, unless they are vital articles and Replicas in myriad databases.

Critical information from each of the selected studies was extracted using a standardized data pull form to gather essential data from each chosen study. The following information was extracted: Author(s) and year of publication; study purpose and goals; The knowledge management framework that was utilized; the methodology (e.g., mixed, qualitative, and quantitative); the results or outcomes provided (pertaining specifically to clinical outcomes and decision-making); the obstacles and challenges found in the implementation of KM; and the best practices and suggestions based on the various KM frameworks and how they affect clinical results, this data will be combined and arranged.

III. RESULTS

The systematic study aimed at assessing the efficacy of knowledge management (KM) frameworks in tackling healthcare issues like decision-making, teamwork, communication, and enhancing clinical results. The analysis also assessed the pertinent healthcare issues such as staffing shortages, growing expenses, and the requirement for in-the-moment clinical choices.

IJARCCE ISSN (O) 2278-1021, ISSN (P) 2319-5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 8.102 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 13, Issue 10, October 2024

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2024.131001

Table 1: Summary of Knowledge Management Frameworks and Findings

Author(s)	Framework	Description	Key Findings	Impact on Clinical Outcomes
[8]	**Collaborative Knowledge Management (CKM)**	focuses on information exchange between various healthcare providers and units.	Enhanced collaboration across multidisciplinary teams, particularly in complicated surgery and long-term care.	Quicker decision-making, fewer medical mistakes, and better patient outcomes like quicker recovery periods.
[9]	**Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)**	combines patient data with clinical guidelines to deliver therapy recommendations in real time.	Standardized care procedures, observance of evidence-based methods, and availability of the most recent clinical recommendations.	Decreased adverse medication occurrences and increased adherence to recommended procedures in clinical settings.
[10]	**Organizational Learning Framework (OLF)**	emphasizes lifelong learning and training through the sharing of both explicit and implicit knowledge .	Reduced variability in the quality of care; improved clinical abilities through continued education and experience exchange.	Enhanced patient satisfaction, reduced problems, increased staff involvement, and career advancement
[11]	**Knowledge Repositories and Portals**	clinical notes, research papers, and medical guidelines all in one place with simple access.	Facilitated quick access to the most recent medical research and standardized treatment protocols for medical professionals.	Improved promptness of treatment and accuracy of diagnosis; improved clinical performance across departments.
[12]	**EHR with Integrated KM**	Electronic health records allow for the real-time sharing of vital patient data and decision support (EHRs).	Better interoperability between departments and healthcare systems; faster and more accurate decision-making in emergency and critical care scenarios.	Shortening diagnosis times and increased clinical effectiveness enhance patient outcomes and shorten hospital stays.

Table 2: Challenges in Healthcare and the Role of Knowledge Management

Author(s)	Challenge	Description	KM Solution	Results
[13]	**Personnel Shortages**	There is a dearth of qualified personnel in the healthcare industry, particularly in neglected and rural areas.	Healthcare practitioners can share information remotely thanks to KM solutions like mobile-based KM applications and telemedicine systems.	Less workload for existing personnel and better access to specialized information in remote areas.
[14]	**Rising Costs**	Organizations are under pressure to save waste without sacrificing the quality of care due to rising healthcare expenditures.	Knowledge management (KM) solutions that use data-driven insights to optimize resource allocation, eliminate redundancies (such as tests and procedures), and streamline operations.	Notable financial savings without sacrificing care; less medical resources and supplies wastage.

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2024.131001

[15]	**Real-Time Clinical Decisions**	the necessity of making decisions quickly and accurately, particularly in emergency and critical care situations.	Updated clinical data and treatment guidelines are accessible through knowledge management frameworks incorporated into EHRs and real-time decision-support systems.	Delays in decision-making are reduced, and quicker diagnosis and treatment outcomes is better for patients.

Synopsis of Results:

Collaborative Knowledge Management (CKM): By making shared knowledge easily accessible, CKM frameworks encourage more collaboration amongst healthcare practitioners. This has enhanced patient care outcomes in specialist therapy fields like cancer management and chronic illness monitoring. Collaborative knowledge management (KM) enhances decision-making and minimizes medical errors in multidisciplinary care teams.

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS): To standardize provision of care, CDSS efficiently integrates patient data with evidence-based guidelines. It speeds up the making of informed decisions by practitioners while comparing to clinical best practices.

Organizational Learning Framework (OLF): Knowledge management-enabled continuous learning ensures healthcare workers access to the most recent information and abilities. OLF frameworks promote staff and patient satisfaction by drastically reducing service variation.

Staff Shortages: By distributing knowledge across regions, KM systems incorporating mobile and telemedicine platforms help lessen the adverse effects of staff shortages. These platforms provide an avenue for medical professionals to collaborate with specialists and obtain specialized knowledge in underserved or rural places.

Growing expenses: The key to controlling growing healthcare expenses is implementing knowledge management (KM) frameworks that prioritize resource optimization and reduce care redundancy (such as repeated testing or pointless treatments). These solutions contribute to operational efficiency while upholding the standard of patient care.

IV. DISCUSION

Through knowledge sharing and management, collaboration and synergy are created; making knowledge sharing a norm leads to collaboration among practitioners in the healthcare sector. This eventually leads to improved patient outcomes. Collaborative KM improves decision-making and reduces errors in the healthcare sector. Collaborative Knowledge Management (CKM): By making shared knowledge easily accessible, CKM frameworks encourage more collaboration amongst healthcare practitioners.

EHRs are critical in knowledge management, mainly where systems that support decision-making are employed and implemented. Clinical systems make meaningful use of the big data that comprises of patient data and are able to ensure guidelines are evidence based. There are speed advantages when this occurs and where it is implemented.

Knowledge management consequently fosters learning and promotes the usage of most recent best practices in the clinical space. Learning frameworks in organizations ensure high satisfaction levels and minimal service variations for patients and staff.

Staff Shortages: By distributing knowledge across regions, KM systems incorporating telemedicine and other electronic platforms help lessen the adverse effects of staff shortages. These platforms facilitate collaboration and sharing of knowledge among medical professionals to as they interact with specialists thus offering them avenues to obtain specialized knowledge in underserved or rural places.

Knowledge management methodologies, employed correctly, lead to a reduction in staff costs. Telemedicine platforms are part of the knowledge management platforms that have helped lower staff costs. The systematic review has shown that the key to minimizing cost is ensuring that redundancy is minimized. While this review shows that staff costs can be reduced, it should be underscored that this is achievable only when KM is adequately implemented.

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2024.131001

V. CONCLUSION

This systematic review explains the challenges in the healthcare sector and the contribution that knowledge management can make to alleviating these challenges. Authors and articles highlighting the feats in the sector concerning knowledge management have underscored the relevance of influencing knowledge management best practices in healthcare practice, both to the benefit of patients and providers. There are benefits such as time and cost savings, reducing the pains of staff shortages, increasing accuracy, improving outcomes, increasing performance, and helping adopt technology such as telemedicine. Knowledge management should be considered a high priority, especially in helping cure systemic issues in the healthcare sector. This endeavour does, then, successfully point out the need to continuously engage in the intense and continuous investigation of the developments of knowledge management as well as knowledge management-related technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and powerful intelligent EHRs, which can share information and support the decision making in the healthcare sector.

REFERENCES

[1] R. L. Engle *et al.*, "Evidence-based practice and patient-centered care: Doing both well," *Health Care Manage. Rev.*, vol. 46, no. 3, p. 174, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1097/HMR.0000000000000254.

[2] D. Bhati, M. S. Deogade, and D. Kanyal, "Improving Patient Outcomes Through Effective Hospital Administration: A Comprehensive Review," *Cureus*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. e47731, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.7759/cureus.47731.

[3] F. El-Jardali *et al.*, "Knowledge management tools and mechanisms for evidence-informed decision-making in the WHO European Region: a scoping review," *Health Res. Policy Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 113, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12961-023-01058-7.

[4] L. Shahmoradi, R. Safadari, and W. Jimma, "Knowledge Management Implementation and the Tools Utilized in Healthcare for Evidence-Based Decision Making: A Systematic Review," *Ethiop. J. Health Sci.*, vol. 27, no. 5, p. 541, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v27i5.13.

[5] E. Coakes, "Knowledge Management– A Primer," *Commun. Assoc. Inf. ...*, Accessed: Oct. 17, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/836010/Knowledge_Management_A_Primer

[6] S. T. Ha, M. C. Lo, M. K. Suaidi, A. A. Mohamad, and Z. B. Razak, "Knowledge Management Process, Entrepreneurial Orientation, and Performance in SMEs: Evidence from an Emerging Economy," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 17, Art. no. 17, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13179791.

[7] T. M. Sullivan, R. J. Limaye, V. Mitchell, M. D'Adamo, and Z. Baquet, "Leveraging the Power of Knowledge Management to Transform Global Health and Development," *Glob. Health Sci. Pract.*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 150, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.9745/GHSP-D-14-00228.

[8] A. Bendowska and E. Baum, "The Significance of Cooperation in Interdisciplinary Health Care Teams as Perceived by Polish Medical Students," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. 954, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/ijerph20020954.

[9] R. T. Sutton, D. Pincock, D. C. Baumgart, D. C. Sadowski, R. N. Fedorak, and K. I. Kroeker, "An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies for success," *NPJ Digit. Med.*, vol. 3, p. 17, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y.

[10] Z. Zeng, Q. Deng, and W. Liu, "Knowledge sharing of health technology among clinicians in integrated care system: The role of social networks," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 13, p. 926736, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.926736.

[11] G. Roohi, G. Mahmoodi, and H. Khoddam, "Knowledge implementation in health care management: a qualitative study," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 188, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-5043-8.

[12] C. H. Tsai, A. Eghdam, N. Davoody, G. Wright, S. Flowerday, and S. Koch, "Effects of Electronic Health Record Implementation and Barriers to Adoption and Use: A Scoping Review and Qualitative Analysis of the Content," *Life*, vol. 10, no. 12, p. 327, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/life10120327.

[13] A. Haleem, M. Javaid, R. P. Singh, and R. Suman, "Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications," *Sens. Int.*, vol. 2, p. 100117, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117.

[14] D. R. Pai, "Complexities of Simultaneously Improving Quality and Lowering Costs in Hospitals: Comment on 'Hospitals Bending the Cost Curve With Increased Quality: A Scoping Review Into Integrated Hospital Strategies,'" *Int. J. Health Policy Manag.*, vol. 12, p. 7442, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.34172/ijhpm.2022.7442.

[15] R. Murri *et al.*, "A real-time integrated framework to support clinical decision making for COVID-19 patients," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 217, p. 106655, Apr. 2022, doi 10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106655.