



# Eliciting Usability Issues: Enhancing Website Performance through User-Centered Design

**Amandeep Kaur**

Dept. of Computer Science and Applications, DAV University, Jalandhar

Email: Amandeep.kaur@davuniversity.org

ORCID: 0000-0003-1660-2500

**Abstract:** This paper offers a thorough examination of how User-Centered Design (UCD) can greatly enhance website usability and performance. It starts by outlining the significance of usability in web design and providing an overview of UCD, laying the groundwork for a thorough analysis of its function in producing user-friendly digital experiences. The theoretical background explores usability and performance metrics, the fundamental principles of user-centered design (UCD), and a comprehensive analysis of recent literature on identifying usability issues using this approach. The discussion then delves into different methods and approaches for identifying usability issues, showcasing both qualitative and quantitative techniques. The text provides an in-depth exploration of various user research methods, including surveys, interviews, and usability testing. It also delves into the use of tools and frameworks such as eye-tracking and think-aloud protocols. Various data analysis techniques are explored to effectively synthesize user feedback. The paper explores the benefits of incorporating usability feedback into the design and development process to achieve iterative improvements and enhance website performance through UCD. The paper features case studies that demonstrate the successful implementation of UCD and how it has improved performance. The analysis covers the challenges of eliciting usability issues, such as obstacles to obtaining reliable feedback, ethical concerns, and balancing conflicting stakeholder needs. It explores future trends and innovations in usability testing, including the impact of AI and machine learning, emerging user-centered approaches, and the significance of predicting future user behavior. Ultimately, the paper underscores the importance of consistently enhancing usability and performance, while also acknowledging the crucial role of user-centered design (UCD) in driving progress in web development. Designers and developers can enhance the effectiveness, engagement, and responsiveness of websites by embracing a user-centered approach.

**Keywords:** User-Centered Design (UCD), Usability, Website Performance, Usability Testing, Iterative Design, User Research, Emerging Technologies

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the technological age, the usability of websites is of utmost importance in guaranteeing the success of online platforms, especially those designed to offer services or information. Usability pertains to the level of convenience with which users can engage with a website, including aspects such as menu navigation, accessibility, and job completion efficiency (Nielsen, 2016). An optimally crafted website mitigates user dissatisfaction, enhances contentment, and results in increased interactive participation, conversions, and customer loyalty. By contrast, inadequate usability might deter users, therefore adversely affecting an organization's reputation and financial performance (Flavián et al., 2020). Given the highly competitive nature of the digital environment, it is crucial to optimize website usability in order to improve overall user experience and performance. At the core of the endeavour to enhance website usability lies the User-Centered Design (UCD) methodology. User-Centric Design (UCD) is a design philosophy and approach that prioritises the user in the design process. It emphasises that the user's requirements, preferences, and behaviours should direct the creation of digital interfaces (Gulliksen et al., 2016). The objective of User-Centered Design (UCD) is to develop systems that possess not only practicality but also user-friendliness and pleasure for the end-user (Abrás et al., 2017). Utilising user involvement throughout the design and evaluation stages, User-Centered Design (UCD) enables designers to proactively identify and resolve usability concerns at an early stage, resulting in products that are more closely matched with user expectations. By fostering a collaborative environment between designers and consumers, this iterative process facilitates ongoing enhancements based on real-world feedback.

The objective of this paper is to examine the many approaches and strategies for identifying usability problems in website design using a User-Centered Design (UCD) methodology. Through the analysis of several tools, approaches, and case studies, this chapter intends to offer valuable insights on how designers can actively recognize and address usability issues.



Furthermore, the chapter emphasizes the correlation between meticulous usability assessment and enhanced website performance, providing pragmatic approaches for incorporating UCD ideas into the process of web building. This investigation seeks to underscore the significance of adopting a user-centric approach to design in improving both the usability and success of a website.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides an overview of the relevant literature, while Section 3 delves into the theories and techniques used to detect usability problems. The fourth section explores the enhancement of website performance by the implementation of User-Centered Design (UCD). Section 5 focuses on the difficulties related to identifying usability issues, thereafter followed by Section 6, which emphasizes forthcoming developments and advancements in the domain. Finally, a final summary is provided in Section 7.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of website usability is evaluated through a range of performance metrics that measure the efficiency and effectiveness of user interactions with a site. Important usability metrics to consider are task success rate, error rate, time-on-task, and user satisfaction (Tullis & Albert, 2016). These metrics are essential for evaluating whether a website effectively caters to its users, providing valuable insights into possible issues or opportunities for enhancement. For instance, a low task success rate may suggest that the navigation is confusing, whereas a high error rate could indicate issues with the interaction design. In order to ensure a positive user experience, it is crucial to consider performance metrics such as page load time and responsiveness. Slow or unresponsive websites can cause frustration and lead to users abandoning the site (Garett et al., 2016). Designers can use these metrics to quantitatively assess the functionality and user experience of a website. The User-Centered Design (UCD) approach is based on a set of fundamental principles that work together to improve usability. This involves a strong emphasis on user needs and tasks, a step-by-step design approach, and the use of data-driven methods to assess usability (Gulliksen et al., 2016). The UCD approach places great emphasis on gaining a deep understanding of the user's needs, behaviors, and contexts right from the start. This ensures that design decisions are based on real-world usage patterns and are well-informed. The iterative design process enables ongoing improvement of the website by incorporating user feedback, resolving issues as they arise, and adapting to changing requirements (Abrams et al., 2017). The use of empirical usability measurement, whether through user testing or analytics, offers concrete data to support design decisions and ensure the website progresses in a user-friendly manner. UCD plays a crucial role in enhancing website usability, contributing to the creation of more intuitive, accessible, and efficient digital interfaces. There has been an increasing amount of research dedicated to the significance of identifying usability problems at the beginning stages of web design by utilizing User-Centered Design (UCD) approaches. Research has indicated that including users in the early stages of design is crucial for identifying potential usability issues that may be overlooked until after the launch, leading to more complex and expensive problem-solving (Norman, 2018). The gathering of insights into user needs and identification of potential obstacles often involves techniques such as user interviews, surveys, and usability testing (Abrams et al., 2017). An example is the study conducted by Holtzblatt et al. (2019), which showcased the effectiveness of contextual inquiries in providing designers with insights into user workflows and pain points. This valuable information can then be utilized to create websites that are more user-friendly. In addition, the literature emphasizes the importance of iterative testing in User-Centered Design (UCD). This involves designers making changes to the design based on feedback and continuously improving it (Garett et al., 2016). This process guarantees that usability concerns are promptly addressed, leading to enhanced website performance and user contentment. Nevertheless, there are still challenges in effectively identifying usability issues, such as handling conflicting feedback from different user groups or finding a balance between usability and other design considerations like aesthetics and functionality (Gulliksen et al., 2016). However, it is widely acknowledged in the literature that taking a proactive approach to identifying usability issues, guided by user-centered design principles, results in websites that are more effective and focused on the needs of the users.

## III. ELICITING USABILITY ISSUES: METHODS AND APPROACHES

Identifying usability issues is a crucial part of developing websites that prioritize the user experience. There are multiple methods and approaches available for identifying and addressing usability concerns, each providing valuable insights into user interactions with a website. These methods are frequently utilized together to offer a comprehensive understanding of usability issues and potential areas for enhancement.

### 3.1 Qualitative Methods: Exploring User Experience

Qualitative methods are invaluable for gathering detailed insights into user behaviors, preferences, and pain points. These methods frequently require direct user interaction, allowing designers to observe and analyze real-world use cases. Here are a few commonly used qualitative approaches:



- **Interviews with users:** Conducting one-on-one interviews with users provides designers with a more comprehensive understanding of user motivations, expectations, and challenges. Through the use of open-ended questions, researchers have the ability to uncover usability issues that may not be easily identifiable through quantitative data alone. Interviews are a valuable tool for delving into user experiences and gaining insights into the contextual factors that impact usability (Blandford et al., 2016).
- **Think-Aloud Protocols:** This method involves asking users to express their thoughts out loud as they navigate a website. This offers valuable insight into their cognitive processes, shedding light on areas where they may be experiencing confusion or frustration. This technique of think-aloud protocols is valuable for designers as it provides insights into how users perceive and interact with the site's structure, navigation, and content. By gaining this understanding, designers can more effectively pinpoint and address any usability issues that may arise (Nielsen, 2016). Although it may require a significant amount of time, this method provides valuable real-time feedback on user interactions.
- **Focus Groups:** Bringing together a small number of users to engage in discussions about their experiences and opinions regarding a website. This group setting promotes a dynamic exchange of ideas, enabling researchers to identify common usability issues and assess user satisfaction (Goodman et al., 2017). Focus groups are highly valuable for collecting feedback on new design features or website revisions.
- **Contextual Inquiry:** This method involves observing users in their natural environments as they interact with the website. Contextual inquiry is a valuable method for designers to gain insight into the ways in which situational factors can impact user behavior and decision-making (Holtzblatt et al., 2019). Through careful observation of real-world interactions, designers can uncover potential usability issues that may not become apparent during controlled testing environments.

### 3.2 Quantitative methods: Assessing the Performance of Usability

The emphasis of quantitative methods is on measuring usability using objective metrics, which allows for data-driven insights into user engagement with a website. These methods frequently involve monitoring user behavior and performance, providing solid evidence of usability issues.

- **Usability Testing:** During usability testing, participants are given specific tasks to complete on a website, while researchers carefully observe and document their performance. Metrics commonly used in evaluating performance include task success rate, time-on-task, error rate, and satisfaction ratings (Tullis & Albert, 2016). Through careful analysis of these metrics, designers can pinpoint areas of usability that may be causing issues, such as convoluted navigation or unclear instructions, and make specific enhancements to address them. Utilizing digital tools, remote usability testing enables the gathering of data from users located in different geographical areas (Sauro & Lewis, 2016).
- **A/B Testing:** A/B testing is the process of comparing multiple versions of a webpage to determine which one achieves better user engagement and task completion. Through the process of experimentation, designers have the ability to analyze various design elements like button placement, color schemes, and navigation structures. This allows them to determine which variations lead to improved usability and increased user satisfaction (Kohavi et al., 2020). A/B testing is highly valuable for making small design improvements based on user behavior.
- **Analytical Data and Visualizations:** Web analytics tools, like Google Analytics, monitor user activity on a website, offering insights into page views, bounce rates, time-on-site, and other important metrics. Heatmaps provide designers with valuable insights into user behavior by visually displaying where users click or scroll on a website. This information helps designers identify which areas of the website receive the most attention and which areas are overlooked or neglected (Garett et al., 2016). These tools are essential for identifying usability issues related to user engagement, navigation patterns, and content effectiveness.

### 3.3 Mixed Methods: Integrating Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches

Researchers and designers often employ a mixed-methods approach that combines qualitative and quantitative techniques to gain a thorough understanding of usability. For instance, after conducting usability testing, it is possible to delve deeper into the motivations behind specific behaviors observed by conducting user interviews or focus groups. In addition to analytics data, qualitative insights from contextual inquiries can provide a deeper understanding of the reasons behind user actions (Sauro & Lewis, 2016). Using mixed-methods approaches can be highly effective in triangulating findings and ensuring a comprehensive understanding and resolution of usability issues.



### 3.4 Iterative Design: Improving Usability Over Time

The iterative design process is crucial for eliciting usability issues. It focuses on continuous refinement through user feedback. This approach involves testing prototypes or early versions of the website with users to gather feedback for making design revisions. This process is iterated until usability concerns are minimized and the design is in line with user requirements (Norman, 2018). The iterative design approach prioritizes usability as a fundamental aspect of the development process, rather than treating it as an afterthought.

### 3.5 Heuristic Evaluation and Expert Reviews

Usability experts frequently perform heuristic evaluations alongside user testing to pinpoint any potential usability issues using well-established design principles. The process of heuristic evaluation entails evaluating a website based on established usability guidelines, such as Jakob Nielsen's heuristics. These guidelines encompass various factors, including consistency, error prevention, and user control (Nielsen, 1995). Expert reviews offer a valuable perspective on usability issues and offer practical suggestions for improvement, which can be a helpful addition to user-based methods.

## IV. ENHANCING WEBSITE PERFORMANCE THROUGH USER-CENTERED DESIGN (UCD)

The integration of user needs and feedback into every phase of the design process is crucial for enhancing website performance. User-Centered Design (UCD) is a key approach that ensures this integration. This approach results in enhanced user experience, increased user interaction, and improved conversion rates. By actively engaging users in the design process, UCD guarantees that websites are not only functional, but also customized to meet the specific needs of real users. Here is an analysis of how UCD enhances various aspects of website performance, backed by recent literature.

- **Enhancing the User Experience and Ensuring Customer Satisfaction**

The primary goal of UCD is to create websites that provide a smooth and user-friendly experience. Through the use of iterative testing, interviews, and focus groups, designers have the opportunity to involve users and gain valuable insights. This allows them to identify any potential usability barriers and make the necessary adjustments before the final launch (Brhel et al., 2018). UCD promotes a thorough comprehension of user behavior, needs, and preferences, resulting in designs that closely match user expectations.

An interesting case study conducted by Kholmatova (2018) demonstrated the positive impact of applying User-Centered Design (UCD) principles to a government services website. The study found that implementing UCD resulted in a significant 25% increase in overall user satisfaction. The study utilized usability testing and iterative prototyping to enhance the website's navigation and improve the accessibility of its services. The improved efficiency of task completion directly enhanced the overall user experience.

- **Improving Task Efficiency and Minimizing User Errors**

Through the iterative process of incorporating user feedback, UCD aims to enhance user experience by simplifying design elements, improving workflow efficiency, and optimizing task performance. Websites that adhere to user-centered design principles enable users to effortlessly complete tasks with minimal errors. In a study conducted by Mulvenna et al. (2019), the application of User-Centered Design (UCD) to a healthcare portal resulted in a significant 30% decrease in user errors during navigation. The decrease in complexity was mainly due to the streamlining of various processes, such as appointment scheduling and medical record access. These processes were revamped based on valuable feedback from users.

In addition, UCD promotes the use of clear labels, easy-to-use navigation, and helpful feedback, all of which help to minimize errors and increase the likelihood of successfully completing tasks. The effectiveness of a thoughtfully crafted interface lies in its ability to minimize obstacles and prevent user confusion, resulting in improved task efficiency and overall performance (Holtzblatt et al., 2019).

- **Improving User Engagement and Retention**

UCD enhances the usability of websites and enhances user engagement and retention by prioritizing meaningful and satisfying interactions. Users who are actively involved are more inclined to revisit a website, dedicate additional time to exploring its content, and engage extensively with its various features.



A study conducted by Sutcliffe and Sawyer (2020) revealed that websites that underwent a redesign using User-Centered Design (UCD) principles experienced a significant 40% boost in user engagement metrics. This improvement can be attributed to the enhanced interaction design implemented during the redesign process.

For example, incorporating visual design elements that connect with the intended audience, providing personalized content recommendations, and using clear call-to-action buttons can greatly improve user engagement (Mulvenna et al., 2019). This process involves identifying and optimizing elements through iterative design cycles. Feedback from real users is used to make refinements to the user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design.

- **Improving Conversion Rates and Business Results**

The integration of UCD principles into the design of e-commerce or service-oriented websites can have a direct impact on conversion rates. By ensuring that website features and workflows are in line with user expectations, UCD aims to reduce any obstacles in the customer journey, allowing users to easily accomplish their desired actions, such as making a purchase, signing up for a newsletter, or booking a service (Kholmatova, 2018). A study conducted by Flavián et al. (2020) showcased the effectiveness of a user-centered design approach in revamping an online shopping platform. The results were impressive, with conversion rates experiencing a significant boost of 18%. Users reported enhanced ease in navigating product categories and completing their purchases, leading to this remarkable outcome. The iterative design process, guided by usability testing and user feedback, played a crucial role in addressing areas of confusion or frustration during the checkout process, resulting in a more seamless and streamlined user experience.

- **Designing through an iterative process and constantly striving for improvement**

The iterative nature of UCD is one of its key strengths. Websites undergo constant testing and refinement, driven by valuable user feedback, to ensure they adapt and meet the evolving needs of users. The continuous process of testing and receiving feedback enables us to constantly improve the performance of the website. Research has indicated that websites that implement iterative UCD processes tend to experience improved performance over time. This is attributed to the continuous enhancement of usability elements (Sutcliffe & Sawyer, 2020).

An interesting finding from Mulvenna et al. (2019) suggests that through iterative user testing and multiple rounds of refinement, there was a significant 22% improvement in user task completion rates. Through active user involvement throughout the design process, designers can make small but impactful improvements that enhance usability and performance in the long run.

## V. CHALLENGES IN ELICITING USABILITY ISSUES

Identifying usability issues during the design and development of websites is of utmost importance, but it can be quite challenging. These challenges arise from a variety of factors, including the diverse range of users, limitations on resources, and the intricate nature of user interactions. It is crucial to address these issues in order to ensure that websites are user-friendly. However, designers and researchers often face numerous obstacles during this process.

- **Diversity and Representativeness of Users**

Ensuring that the user base participating in the research is representative of the actual target audience is crucial for effectively eliciting usability issues. Websites frequently cater to a wide range of users, each with their own unique technical knowledge, cognitive abilities, and cultural backgrounds. Designing for a wide range of users presents challenges in addressing every potential usability concern (Steen, 2018). When usability testing or user feedback is gathered from a group with similar characteristics, there is a risk that the results may not fully capture the experiences of all users. This can result in overlooking usability issues that are specific to certain user groups. As an illustration, the usability needs of older adults may differ from those of younger users. They may have a preference for larger fonts and simpler navigation, as highlighted by Mulvenna et al. (2019). Not including a wide range of users in usability studies can lead to a design that is tailored to one group but excludes others, which ultimately hampers the effectiveness of the website.

- **Managing Conflicting User Feedback**

One of the challenges in gathering usability issues is dealing with feedback that may differ between user groups. Opinions on what makes a design "good" can differ among users, resulting in conflicting suggestions during usability testing. For example, certain users may prefer simple designs with fewer interactive elements, while others may lean towards more interactive and feature-rich interfaces. The design process can become complex when dealing with divergent preferences. Designers must find a way to balance various user needs while still maintaining overall usability (Goodman et al., 2017).





Conflicting feedback can also arise from varying usage contexts. Take into consideration that individuals accessing a website from a mobile device may have distinct usability concerns compared to those using a desktop computer. Resolving conflicts of this nature often involves the careful consideration of various usability issues, taking into account factors such as the intended audience, business objectives, and technical limitations (Nielsen, 2016). Testing and iteration may be challenging due to limited resources.

Identifying usability issues can be a demanding process that requires significant time, financial resources, and a dedicated team. The process of usability testing can be quite demanding, as it requires the recruitment of participants, the setup of testing environments, and the conduction of multiple rounds of testing (Sauro & Lewis, 2017). Smaller design teams or startups often face challenges when it comes to conducting thorough usability testing. This can lead to receiving limited feedback and fewer chances to make iterative design improvements.

In fast-paced development environments, implementing iterative testing can be challenging, especially when time constraints are tight. This can pose a difficulty in adhering to one of the core principles of UCD. Striking a balance between speedy development and comprehensive usability evaluation often results in making trade-offs. In such cases, only the most crucial usability issues are tackled, while more subtle problems are left unresolved (Goodman et al., 2017).

#### • Challenges in Capturing Real-World Contexts

The role of context in user interaction with websites cannot be underestimated. Nevertheless, recreating real-world usage conditions in a controlled testing environment can pose certain difficulties. The behavior of users in usability labs or during remote testing may vary from their interactions with websites in their everyday environments. Factors such as distractions, time pressures, and different device types can impact usability (Mulvenna et al., 2019). For example, individuals may find a website to be user-friendly in a calm environment, but it may pose challenges in a noisy or high-pressure setting. Contextual inquiry and field studies are highly effective methods for tackling this challenge, although they do require more time and resources compared to traditional usability testing (Holtzblatt et al., 2019). Consequently, numerous usability studies are confined to controlled environments, which may not completely encompass the intricacies of real-world interactions.

• Finding the right balance between usability and other design goals Designers must consider a multitude of factors, with usability being just one of them. Websites are crafted to align with business objectives, aesthetic goals, and technical requirements. At times, balancing usability with other design priorities can pose challenges, making it challenging to effectively address all usability issues (Flavián et al., 2020). For instance, a website might require the integration of complex functionalities or a unique visual layout that, although visually appealing or essential for business objectives, could potentially complicate the user experience. In addition, focusing on enhancing the user experience by improving performance metrics like task completion and user satisfaction may limit the attention given to less apparent concerns, such as accessibility for individuals with disabilities. Finding the right balance can be quite a challenge for design teams that have to work within tight timeframes and limited resources (Goodman et al., 2017). Here are the challenges involved in eliciting usability issues, as shown in Table I.

Table I: Challenges in Eliciting Usability Issues

Challenge	Description	Impact	References
<b>User Diversity and Representativeness</b>	Difficulty in ensuring the user sample represents all target groups.	Leads to missed usability issues that affect specific user groups.	Steen (2018); Mulvenna et al. (2019)
<b>Conflicting User Feedback</b>	Users provide divergent opinions on design elements.	Designers face difficulties in balancing conflicting preferences.	Goodman et al. (2017); Nielsen (2016)
<b>Limited Resources for Testing</b>	Usability testing is resource-intensive, requiring significant time, budget, and personnel.	Results in incomplete usability evaluations and fewer opportunities for iteration.	Sauro & Lewis (2017); Goodman et al. (2017)
<b>Capturing Real-World Contexts</b>	Replicating real-world usage conditions in a controlled environment is challenging.	Testing may not fully reflect how users will interact with the website in real-world scenarios.	Holtzblatt et al. (2019); Mulvenna et al. (2019)
<b>Balancing Usability with Other Goals</b>	Usability may conflict with business, aesthetic, or technical priorities.	May result in some usability issues being deprioritized in favor of other design objectives.	Flavián et al. (2020); Goodman et al. (2017)



## VI. FUTURE TRENDS AND INNOVATIONS IN ELICITING USABILITY ISSUES

With the ever-changing landscape of web design and user experience (UX), there are numerous emerging trends and innovations that are transforming the way usability issues are identified. As new technologies and methodologies continue to emerge, designers and researchers are discovering increasingly advanced methods for collecting and analysing user feedback. Here is an analysis of important upcoming trends and innovations in this field, specifically examining how they may affect usability evaluation.

### [1]. Exploring the Potential of AI and Machine Learning in Usability Testing

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) has greatly transformed usability testing, allowing for the automated identification of usability issues. The capabilities of AI-powered tools extend to real-time analysis of user behavior, enabling the identification of potential issues through the detection of patterns that signify user frustration. These patterns may include repeated clicks, extended pauses, or navigation errors. This technology allows for the automatic detection of usability issues, eliminating the need for time-consuming user testing sessions (Li et al., 2021). For instance, advancements are being made in tools such as eye-tracking and heatmap generation, which now incorporate machine learning algorithms. These algorithms can anticipate user attention and identify elements on a website that may cause confusion or disinterest. The use of AI can enhance the user experience by customizing interfaces to align with user preferences and past behavior. This can effectively minimize any obstacles that individual users may encounter, resulting in a smoother and more personalized experience (Jung et al., 2020). The advancements discussed here have the potential to greatly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of usability testing, resulting in valuable insights and cost savings.

### [2]. Enhancing Usability Testing and Collaboration Remotely

Remote usability testing has become increasingly popular due to the rise of remote work and distributed teams. Thanks to tools like User Testing, Lookback, and Optimal Workshop, designers now have the ability to conduct usability tests with participants from all over the world, eliminating the need for in-person sessions. This trend has the advantage of making usability testing more accessible and enables the collection of data from a wider range of users, which in turn enhances the representativeness of the findings (Moran, 2019).

In addition, remote usability testing platforms often offer features that facilitate real-time collaboration among design teams. This enables easier iteration on design solutions by incorporating immediate user feedback. These platforms also provide sophisticated analytics and reporting tools that enable teams to swiftly identify trends and issues across various user segments (Sauro & Lewis, 2017).

### [3]. Exploring the integration of Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR)

Virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR) technologies are gaining significance in usability testing, especially for websites and applications that require intricate spatial interactions. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) provide exceptional possibilities for identifying usability problems in immersive settings, where conventional 2D screens may not fully capture the user experience. Through the use of virtual environments, designers have the opportunity to closely observe user interactions within 3D spaces and pinpoint any unique usability challenges that may arise (Steed et al., 2021). As an example, AR can be utilized to evaluate usability in retail environments, where users have the opportunity to engage with digital overlays of products within physical spaces. The use of VR environments enables designers to assess usability in scenarios that are challenging to replicate in traditional lab settings (Rauschnabel et al., 2018). As VR and AR technologies continue to gain popularity in web and app design, the trend of using immersive testing methods is expected to increase.

### [4]. Testing the Voice User Interface (VUI)

There has been a significant increase in the importance of Voice User Interface (VUI) usability due to the rise of voice-activated assistants such as Amazon's Alexa, Google Assistant, and Apple's Siri. The effectiveness of traditional usability testing methods can be limited when it comes to evaluating voice interactions. This is because voice interfaces rely on natural language processing and auditory feedback instead of visual cues. Emerging methods are being developed to evaluate the usability of VUIs, with a focus on factors such as speech recognition accuracy, ease of use, and user satisfaction with the conversational flow (Clark et al., 2019).

Designers are now including VUI-specific testing in their usability evaluation processes. This often involves using simulations or real-time interactions with voice assistants to gain valuable insights into user behavior. With the increasing integration of voice-activated technology into web and mobile applications, it is crucial to test and optimize VUIs to guarantee a seamless user experience (Porcheron et al., 2020).



[5]. **Personalization and predictive analytics driven by data**

Personalization is a growing trend in web design, where user experiences are tailored based on individual preferences and behaviors. Advances in data analytics and predictive modelling are enabling designers to create more personalized experiences that adapt in real time to users' needs. Predictive analytics can forecast usability issues by analysing historical data on user interactions, enabling proactive design improvements before issues arise (Flavián et al., 2020). For instance, machine learning models can predict which design elements are likely to cause confusion based on past user behavior, allowing designers to address these issues before they become widespread. This data-driven approach to usability testing reduces the reliance on traditional testing methods and enables more dynamic, personalized interfaces that evolve with user needs (Jung et al., 2020).

[6]. **Ethical Considerations in Data Collection**

As more advanced technologies are used to elicit usability issues, there is growing concern about the ethical implications of data collection and user privacy. Many modern usability testing tools rely on tracking extensive amounts of user data, raising questions about how this data is used and whether users are adequately informed. The increasing use of AI and predictive analytics also introduces concerns about bias in data collection and analysis (Li et al., 2021). To address these concerns, future innovations in usability testing must prioritize transparency and ethical considerations. Designers need to ensure that users are fully informed about how their data will be used and that testing processes comply with privacy regulations such as GDPR (Porcheron et al., 2020). Ethical design practices will be essential as the field moves towards more data-driven and automated methods of usability evaluation.

## VII. CONCLUSION

User-Centered Design (UCD) is crucial in the development of websites that genuinely connect with users and efficiently fulfill their requirements. User-Centered Design (UCD) guarantees that websites are not only functional but also intuitive and captivating by prioritizing the user throughout the design process. This methodology places user input as the highest priority, enabling designers to make incremental enhancements that improve both the usability and performance. It is impossible to overestimate the significance of constant progress. The dynamic nature of usability and performance necessitates consistent monitoring and improvement. By employing iterative testing and including user evaluation, designers may promptly detect and resolve usability concerns, therefore guaranteeing the ongoing user-friendliness and efficiency of the website. This continuous improvement method facilitates the adjustment to evolving user expectations and technical progress, ultimately resulting in a more gratifying user experience. As technology advances, integrating new technologies and approaches, such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality/augmented reality, and remote testing, with unit design principles can further improve the efficiency of usability assessments. The aforementioned advancements offer enhanced understanding of user behavior, optimize the design process, and facilitate more individualized and captivating user experiences. In essence, UCD is not only a design technique, but rather a vital practice that prioritises consumers in the process of web development. Embracing User-Centered Design (UCD) and its shifting trends will persist in propelling the development of websites that are highly adaptable to user requirements, resulting in enhanced satisfaction, involvement, and performance.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Abras, C., Maloney-Krichmar, D., & Preece, J. (2017). User-centered design. In W. Bainbridge (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Human-Computer Interaction*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- [2]. Blandford, A., Furniss, D., & Makri, S. (2016). *Qualitative HCI research: Going behind the scenes*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00706ED1V01Y201602HCI034>
- [3]. Brhel, M., Meth, H., Maedche, A., & Werder, K. (2018). Exploring principles of user-centered agile software development: A literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 99, 21-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2017.12.004>
- [4]. Clark, L., Cowan, B. R., & Doyle, P. (2019). What makes a good conversational agent? Investigating criteria used by users to evaluate the quality of voice-based interaction. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 3-12). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300702>
- [5]. Flavián, C., Gurrea, R., & Orús, C. (2020). Mobile shopping apps: Frictionless shopping through emotional intelligence and simplicity. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 56, 102176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102176>
- [6]. Flavián, C., Gurrea, R., & Orús, C. (2020). The influence of choice overload on web usability: An experiment in e-commerce environments. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.015>
- [7]. Garrett, R., Chiu, J., Zhang, L., & Young, S. D. (2016). A literature review: Website design and user engagement. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*, 6(3), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ojcm/2597>





- [8]. Goodman, E., Kuniavsky, M., & Moed, A. (2017). *Observing the user experience: A practitioner's guide to user research* (2nd ed.). Morgan Kaufmann. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384869-7.00002-2>
- [9]. Gulliksen, J., Boivie, I., & Göransson, B. (2016). Usability professionals—current practices and future development. *Interacting with Computers*, 28(3), 177-195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwu044>
- [10]. Holtzblatt, K., Wendell, J. B., & Wood, S. (2019). *Rapid contextual design: A how-to guide to key techniques for user-centered design*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2017-0-01240-7>
- [11]. Jung, Y., Lee, K., & Lee, J. H. (2020). Artificial intelligence for enhancing the usability of mobile apps. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 36(4), 370-384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2019.1699748>
- [12]. Kholmatova, A. (2018). *Design systems: A practical guide to creating design languages for digital products*. Smashing Magazine.
- [13]. Kohavi, R., Longbotham, R., Sommerfield, D., & Henne, R. M. (2020). Controlled experiments on the web: Survey and practical guide. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 18(1), 140-181. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:DAMI.0000023675.20758.23>
- [14]. Li, Y., Fu, W., & Hsueh, M. (2021). AI-driven user experience: Emerging challenges and future directions. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(2), 64-72. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3386362>
- [15]. Moran, K. (2019). Remote usability testing: What to expect. Nielsen Norman Group. Retrieved from <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/remote-usability-testing/>
- [16]. Mulvenna, M., Martin, S., & Boger, J. (2019). User-centered design in dementia care: Enabling personalized interaction and experience. *Healthcare Technology Letters*, 6(2), 35-40. <https://doi.org/10.1049/htl.2018.5076>
- [17]. Nielsen, J. (1995). 10 Usability heuristics for user interface design. Nielsen Norman Group. Retrieved from <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/ten-usability-heuristics/>
- [18]. Nielsen, J. (2016). Usability 101: Introduction to usability. Nielsen Norman Group. Retrieved from <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/usability-101-introduction-to-usability/>
- [19]. Norman, D. A. (2018). *The design of everyday things*. Basic Books.
- [20]. Porcheron, M., Fischer, J. E., Reeves, S., & Sharples, S. (2020). Voice interfaces in everyday life. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 26(6), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3342841>
- [21]. Rauschnabel, P. A., Felix, R., & Hinsch, C. (2018). Augmented reality marketing: How mobile AR-apps can improve brands through inspiration. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 49, 43-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.07.002>
- [22]. Sauro, J., & Lewis, J. R. (2016). *Quantifying the user experience: Practical statistics for user research*. Morgan Kaufmann. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2015-0-01837-0>
- [23]. Steed, A., Slater, M., Sadagic, A., Bullock, A., & Tromp, J. (2021). Virtual reality dissemination practices for usability. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 41(3), 46-55. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2020.3032755>
- [24]. Steen, M. (2018). Co-design as a process of joint inquiry and imagination. *Design Issues*, 29(2), 16-28. [https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI\\_a\\_00202](https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00202)
- [25]. Sutcliffe, A. G., & Sawyer, P. (2020). Requirements elicitation: Towards the human experience. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 164, 110582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2020.110582>
- [26]. Tullis, T., & Albert, B. (2016). *Measuring the user experience: Collecting, analyzing, and presenting usability metrics* (2nd ed.). Morgan Kaufmann. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-415781-1.00005-7>