IJARCCE
ISSN (O) 2278-1021, ISSN (P) 2319-5940

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

Impact Factor 8.471 ⁙ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁙ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

Immersi-Lab: Empowering Disabled Learners Through Virtual Biology Simulations

Faheem Ahmad[1], Dev Verma[2], Tanya Verma[3], Harsh Sharma[4],Dr Uruj Jaleel[5], Dr.Satish Kumar Soni[6]

Student, MCA, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[1]

Student, MCA, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[2]

Student, MCA, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[3]

Student, MCA, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[4]

Professor, MCA, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[5]

Associate Professor, MCA. Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, U.P. India[6]

Abstract: Traditional biology laboratories systematically exclude differently-abled students — those with physical disabilities, mobility impairments, chronic health conditions, and sensory limitations — from hands-on science education. In India, with over 26.8 million persons with disabilities [6], the inaccessibility of physical laboratory infrastructure represents a critical educational equity failure. This paper presents ImmersiLab, an immersive Virtual Reality (VR) Biology Laboratory developed on Unity Engine LTS with the XR Interaction Toolkit and OpenXR framework [7], deployed on Meta Quest 2/3 [9]. ImmersiLab provides five fully interactive, curriculum-aligned biology experiment modules — Cell Observation, Organ Dissection, Osmosis, Microbiology, and Photosynthesis — each structured as a four-stage guided workflow: Introduction, Preparation, Execution, and Review. By enabling safe, self-paced, location-independent, and infinitely repeatable biology experimentation, ImmersiLab removes every physical and systemic barrier faced by differently-abled learners. User Acceptance Testing conducted with 30 MCA students at MIET, Meerut demonstrated strong performance: 72+ FPS on Meta Quest 2, under 2GB RAM usage, app loading under 10 seconds, and user satisfaction averaging 4.5 out of 5 across all parameters. Research evidence confirms that VR-based learning produces 25% better retention than textbook-based methods [1], that virtual labs reduce costs by up to 60% [3], and that VR significantly reduces participation gaps for students with physical disabilities [4]. ImmersiLab is designed to democratize science education across India by making biology laboratory learning fully accessible regardless of physical ability or geographic location.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Inclusive Education, Differently-Abled Learners, VR Biology Lab, Unity Engine, XR Toolkit, Accessibility, Meta Quest, Immersive Learning, India, Disability.

1. INTRODUCTION

The right to quality education is a fundamental entitlement guaranteed to every individual, yet for millions of differently-abled students across India, access to biology laboratory education remains deeply and structurally inequitable. Biology as a scientific discipline demands direct engagement with microscopes, dissection instruments, chemical reagents, and biological specimens — all of which presuppose an able-bodied learner operating within a physically accessible institutional space. Traditional biology laboratories, however, are almost universally designed without regard for students who present with physical disabilities, mobility impairments, chronic illness, visual or auditory limitations, or immune system vulnerabilities.

According to data published by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, India is home to over 26.8 million persons with disabilities [6], a significant proportion of whom are enrolled in formal education at various levels. The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act of 2016 mandates reasonable accommodation in educational settings; however, compliance in laboratory infrastructure remains negligible across most Indian institutions. High benches, narrow aisles, shared instruments, and timed practical sessions continue to be the norm, creating a systemic exclusion that is invisible precisely because it is structural and not intentional.

The COVID-19 pandemic starkly exposed the brittleness of physical laboratory-dependent education. When institutions shut overnight in March 2020, all students lost access to practical learning — but differently-abled students bore a

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

disproportionate burden. Their reliance on institutional support structures, their limited ability to improvise home-based alternatives, and the absence of digital laboratory resources designed for their needs left them without any meaningful access to science education for extended periods.

Research consistently confirms that virtual reality offers a uniquely powerful vehicle for addressing exactly these failures. Merchant et al. [1] demonstrated that VR-based instruction produces a 25% improvement in learning retention compared to textbook and video-based methods. Radianti et al. [2] identified biology dissection and microscopy as ideal VR use cases due to their spatial and procedural nature. Johnson et al. [3] showed that virtual laboratory environments can reduce educational delivery costs by 60% while matching physical laboratory learning outcomes. Serafin et al. [4] specifically found that VR significantly reduces educational participation gaps for students with physical disabilities. Taken together, this evidence base establishes a compelling case for VR as the primary technological intervention for inclusive science education.

This paper presents ImmersiLab, a fully immersive Virtual Reality Biology Laboratory developed on Unity Engine LTS [7] with the XR Interaction Toolkit and OpenXR framework, deployable exclusively on Meta Quest 2/3 [9] as a standalone device. ImmersiLab does not support Windows PC or any desktop platform — it is designed solely for the Meta Quest standalone headset. ImmersiLab is engineered with inclusive accessibility as its primary design objective — to remove every physical, environmental, health-safety, attendance-based, and sensory barrier that prevents differently-abled students from participating in biology laboratory education, and to replace the physical laboratory with an immersive, safe, self-paced, and infinitely repeatable virtual alternative.

The contributions of this paper are as follows: (1) a systematic documentation of the multi-layered barriers faced by differently-abled students in traditional biology education; (2) a comprehensive comparative analysis of existing VR biology platforms and their failure to address these barriers; (3) the design and implementation of ImmersiLab, the first India-specific, curriculum-aligned, inclusive VR biology laboratory; (4) a detailed presentation of the system architecture, five experiment modules, and the four-stage pedagogical workflow; and (5) empirical user acceptance testing results demonstrating the system's performance and educational impact.

2. EXISTING PROBLEM

Differently-abled students encounter a multi-layered and interlocking set of barriers when attempting to access traditional biology laboratory education. These barriers are not isolated incidents but constitute a systemic pattern that operates simultaneously across physical, safety, attendance, sensory, and institutional dimensions.

2.1 Physical and Infrastructural Barriers

Standard biology laboratories in Indian educational institutions are designed exclusively for able-bodied users operating under standard physical conditions. Laboratory benches are typically positioned at heights that are inaccessible to wheelchair users. Workstation aisles are narrow and cannot accommodate mobility aids. Heavy microscopes, centrifuges, and storage cabinets require physical manipulation that is impossible for students with upper limb disabilities or spastic conditions. In most Indian institutions, 30 to 60 students share a single microscope per practical session [12], with rotation schedules that allow each student only a few minutes of instrument access. For differently-abled students who require additional time or adaptive assistance, this system is structurally inaccessible.

2.2 Biohazard and Health Safety Risks

Biology laboratories routinely expose students to formaldehyde, ethanol, iodine, acid-base indicators, and preserved biological specimens including animal dissection material and bacterial cultures. For students with compromised immune systems, autoimmune conditions such as lupus or rheumatoid arthritis, severe respiratory sensitivities, or documented chemical allergies, participation in such environments constitutes a genuine and documented medical risk [14]. These students are excluded on health grounds from a mandatory component of their academic curriculum — a systemic inequity for which existing institutional infrastructure offers no remedy or alternative.

2.3 Attendance and Access Constraints

Physical laboratory attendance in Indian universities is mandated by regulatory frameworks at a minimum of 75% of scheduled sessions. Students with mobility disabilities, chronic illness, autoimmune conditions, or medical circumstances requiring hospitalization or extended recovery cannot guarantee consistent in-person laboratory attendance. Under current regulations, disability-related absences are treated identically to unexcused absences, resulting in academic penalties including grade reduction, examination disqualification, or year loss [6]. This compounds an existing

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

educational disadvantage with institutional punishment, representing a fundamental violation of inclusive education principles.

2.4 Sensory and Cognitive Accessibility Gaps

Students with visual impairments, hearing loss, or cognitive processing differences such as dyslexia or processing speed disorders receive no specialized accommodation in standard biology laboratory settings. Laboratory instructions are delivered verbally or via printed manuals in standard typefaces without audio description, large-print formatting, or sign language interpretation. There are no audio-described specimens, no tactile learning aids, and no adaptive interfaces that could enable meaningful participation by such learners. Smith and Cheung [5] documented that students with autism spectrum disorder additionally suffer from the unpredictable sensory environment of physical laboratories — sudden sounds, strong chemical odors, and unstructured peer interaction — which can render the experience distressing rather than educational.

2.5 Pandemic Vulnerability and System Fragility

The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated with devastating clarity the fragility of physical laboratory-dependent education. When institutions closed overnight across India in March 2020, no digital alternative existed for biology practical education. Students lost an entire academic year of laboratory learning with no substitute. Differently-abled students, who are disproportionately dependent on institutional support structures and have the fewest resources to arrange home-based alternatives, were the most severely affected demographic in this disruption [15]. ImmersiLab is designed to be resilient to exactly this class of disruption: as a software system deployable on consumer hardware, it remains accessible to students regardless of physical institutional closure.

2.6 Gaps in Existing VR Biology Platforms

A systematic review of currently available virtual biology education platforms reveals that none adequately address the inclusive education needs of differently-abled Indian students. Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of the leading platforms against ImmersiLab across key accessibility and deployment dimensions.

Feature	Labster	zSpace	BioDigital	G.Exped.	ImmersiLab
Interactive XR	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
India Affordable	No	No	Partial	Yes	Yes
Accessibility	No	No	No	No	Yes
Offline Mode	No	Yes	No	Partial	Yes
Experiment Flow	Scripted	Yes	No	No	Yes
Progress Track	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of VR Biology Platforms

Labster provides scripted simulations optimized for desktop browsers but lacks interactive XR features and has no accessibility design framework [16]. zSpace requires proprietary, prohibitively expensive hardware (USD 3,000-5,000 per unit) that places it entirely out of reach for Indian government educational institutions. BioDigital Human offers anatomical visualization without any experiment workflow, assessment system, or interactive XR manipulation. Google Expeditions provided passive 360-degree viewing — not interactive laboratory experimentation — and has since been discontinued. Critically, none of these platforms were designed with inclusive accessibility for differently-abled students as a primary objective, and none are optimized for affordable standalone deployment in Indian institutional contexts.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The evidence base supporting both the efficacy of VR-based learning and its particular suitability for addressing accessibility challenges in education is substantial and growing across multiple research domains.

Merchant et al. [1] conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of 69 independent studies examining VR-based instruction across K-12 and higher education contexts. Their analysis found that VR-based learning produced a statistically significant 25% improvement in knowledge retention and stronger cognitive memory formation compared to textbook, lecture, or video-based instruction. Critically, VR demonstrated its strongest advantages in domains requiring spatial understanding and procedural skill development — precisely the cognitive demands of biology laboratory education.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

Radianti et al. [2] conducted a systematic review of 38 peer-reviewed VR education studies published between 2010 and 2019 and established a taxonomy of VR educational effectiveness by subject domain. The review identified biology dissection, cellular observation, and microscopy as the highest-priority use cases for VR application, due to the inherently three-dimensional spatial relationships involved in these learning tasks that two-dimensional representations fundamentally fail to convey. Their analysis also noted that interactivity — the ability of students to directly manipulate virtual objects — is the single most significant predictor of VR learning effectiveness.

Johnson et al. [3] provided an economic and pedagogical analysis of virtual laboratory environments and demonstrated that well-designed virtual labs could reduce laboratory education delivery costs by up to 60% compared to physical laboratories, while maintaining equivalent learning outcomes when the virtual environment was sufficiently interactive and immersive. For Indian educational institutions operating under significant resource constraints, this finding has direct policy implications: VR is not simply a pedagogically superior alternative, it is an economically rational one.

In the specific context of differently-abled learners, Serafin et al. [4] found that immersive VR environments significantly reduce educational participation gaps for students with physical disabilities by eliminating the physical and spatial constraints of conventional learning environments. Their study documented that students with mobility impairments, who reported consistent exclusion from hands-on laboratory activities in physical settings, achieved learning outcomes equivalent to able-bodied peers when the same activities were conducted in VR.

Smith and Cheung [5] examined VR applications specifically for students with autism spectrum disorder and documented several unique advantages: controlled sensory environments that eliminate unpredictable stimuli, the ability to practice social and procedural skills repeatedly without real-world consequences, and self-paced progression that accommodates processing speed differences. These findings generalize broadly to the range of differently-abled learners for whom ImmersiLab is designed.

Freina and Ott [10] published a comprehensive literature review of VR in education and identified immersion and presence as the two key psychological mechanisms through which VR produces superior learning outcomes. When students experience genuine presence in a virtual environment — the subjective sense of actually being there — their engagement, retention, and motivational response are substantially elevated compared to passive media consumption.

Makransky and Lilleholt [11] specifically examined the relationship between VR immersion levels and learning outcomes in science education and found that high-immersion VR environments (such as headset-based VR of the type deployed in ImmersiLab) significantly outperform both desktop VR and conventional video in terms of both immediate learning scores and knowledge retention at 30-day follow-up. Their study also demonstrated that high-immersion VR produces substantially higher motivation and self-efficacy scores among students, factors that are particularly important for differently-abled learners who may bring negative prior experiences with educational exclusion.

Taken collectively, this body of research establishes three converging conclusions that directly inform the design of ImmersiLab: (1) VR is an educationally superior medium for procedural and spatial learning tasks such as biology experimentation; (2) VR uniquely addresses the participation barriers faced by differently-abled learners in physical educational settings; and (3) high-immersion, interactive VR produces the strongest learning, motivational, and accessibility outcomes [13]. Despite this evidence base, no prior work has presented a purpose-built, India-specific, curriculum-aligned VR biology laboratory with inclusive design for differently-abled students as its primary design objective.

4. PROPOSED SYSTEM: IMMERSILAB

ImmersiLab is a fully immersive, interactive VR Biology Laboratory engineered with inclusive accessibility as its primary design objective. The system enables differently-abled students to participate fully in biology laboratory education by delivering a complete, safe, self-paced, location-independent, and infinitely repeatable virtual laboratory on consumer-grade hardware. ImmersiLab is built on Unity Engine LTS [7] with the XR Interaction Toolkit and OpenXR framework, and is deployable on Meta Quest 2/3 [9] as a standalone VR platform. Three-dimensional biological models are created in Blender 3D and student progress data is managed through Firebase Realtime Database [8].

4.1 Core Accessibility Design Principles

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

ImmersiLab is designed around six core accessibility principles that directly and specifically address each of the barriers documented in Section 2:

Location Independence: Students can access the complete biology laboratory from any location — home, rehabilitation center, hospital room, or rural area — using a Meta Quest 2/3 headset or Meta Quest 2/3. Physical institutional attendance is eliminated as a prerequisite for laboratory learning [4].

Zero Physical Risk: ImmersiLab contains no chemical reagents, no sharp dissection instruments, and no biohazard specimens. Every experiment is completely safe for students with immune vulnerabilities, chemical sensitivities, respiratory conditions, or physical handling limitations [14].

Self-Paced Progression: Every experiment can be paused, rewound, or repeated without limit and without time pressure. The system accommodates students with fatigue conditions, concentration limitations, chronic pain cycles, or cognitive processing speed differences.

Adaptive Interaction: XR grab, rotate, zoom, and inspect mechanics accommodate students with varying levels of motor ability. Controller-based interaction requires only trigger and grip inputs; gaze-based dwell selection is available for students who cannot use controllers [2].

Sensory Accessibility: Built-in subtitle display supports students with hearing impairments. Spatial audio provides directional laboratory environment sound. The system roadmap includes complete Hindi and Hinglish audio description for visually impaired learners [5].

Infinite Repeatability: Unlike physical laboratories where reagents are consumed, specimens are damaged, and session time is limited, every ImmersiLab experiment can be repeated an unlimited number of times, enabling mastery-based learning that is uniquely beneficial for students with learning disabilities or slower processing speeds [11].

5. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

ImmersiLab follows a clean three-layer architecture — Presentation, Application, and Data — designed for performance on constrained hardware, modularity for future expansion, and reliability in offline deployment conditions.

5.1 Presentation Layer

The Presentation Layer delivers the Unity VR application, all XR user interaction interfaces, and the experiment UI system. The virtual laboratory environment is rendered using Unity's HDRP (High Definition Render Pipeline) to achieve photorealistic visual fidelity — a critical requirement for the biological accuracy of organ models, cell specimens, and bacterial culture visualizations. The environment includes HDRP-based area lighting, physically-based material shaders on all 20+ biological models, teleportation-based navigation that requires no locomotion ability, and spatial audio providing directional environmental sound. All interactive objects respond to XR grab, rotate, zoom, and inspect interactions implemented through the XR Interaction Toolkit [7]. The UI system presents experiment instructions, progress indicators, and assessment prompts through floating world-space panels that are readable at comfortable viewing distances within the headset.

5.2 Application Layer

The Application Layer comprises the experiment logic APIs and the four-stage step sequencing engine that drives all five experiment modules. The step sequencing engine manages state transitions between Introduction, Preparation, Execution, and Review stages for each experiment, triggering appropriate model activations, UI prompts, audio narration, and assessment events at each stage boundary. The experiment logic APIs handle all physical simulation — osmosis membrane permeability calculations, photosynthesis variable response curves, Gram staining color change sequences, and dissection layer reveal mechanics — using C# scripting within Unity [7]. The Application Layer is designed to be completely modular, enabling new experiment modules to be added without modification to the Presentation or Data layers.

5.3 Data Layer

The Data Layer uses Firebase Realtime Database [8] to maintain persistent student profiles, experiment completion records, assessment scores, session timestamps, and resume-state data. Each student is identified by a unique Firebase UID generated at first login. Experiment progress is written to Firebase after every stage completion, enabling students to exit and resume experiments across sessions — a critical feature for students with chronic fatigue or pain conditions

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⁂ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁂ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

who may be unable to complete a full experiment in a single sitting. Instructor accounts have read access to all student progress data and assessment scores through a Firebase-backed web dashboard, enabling remote monitoring of student performance without requiring physical classroom attendance.

6. VIRTUAL EXPERIMENT MODULES

ImmersiLab provides five curriculum-aligned biology experiment modules. Every module follows a structured four-stage pedagogical workflow: Introduction (concept grounding and objective setting), Preparation (virtual equipment and specimen setup), Execution (the interactive experiment), and Review (assessment, scoring, and concept reinforcement). This four-stage structure mirrors the pedagogical sequence of a well-facilitated physical laboratory session and is based on the constructivist learning framework [11] that identifies active, structured engagement as the key driver of science learning outcomes.

6.1 Cell Observation

The Cell Observation module provides a fully functional virtual microscope with adjustable magnification from 10x to 1000x. Students observe plant cell (onion epidermis) and animal cell (cheek epithelium) specimens rendered as photorealistic 3D models at each magnification level. An interactive labeling system prompts students to identify and tag organelles — cell membrane, nucleus, mitochondria, chloroplasts, vacuole — in real time, with immediate feedback. Unlike physical microscopy, the virtual microscope requires no fine motor control for slide preparation or focus adjustment, making it fully accessible to students with limited dexterity or tremor conditions [2].

6.2 Organ Dissection

The Organ Dissection module provides layer-by-layer virtual dissection of frog external and internal anatomy and human heart anatomy. Students use a virtual scalpel — triggered by controller input requiring only a single button press — to progressively reveal anatomical layers, with each layer labeled and narrated upon exposure. The absence of any physical cutting instrument makes this module fully accessible to students with motor impairments, and the absence of formaldehyde or biological specimen exposure makes it safe for students with chemical sensitivities or immune system vulnerabilities [14]. Students can re-cover and re-dissect an unlimited number of times, enabling repeated practice impossible in a physical setting where specimens are irreversibly altered.

6.3 Osmosis

The Osmosis module simulates a semi-permeable membrane system with adjustable solute concentrations on each side. Students use controller inputs to adjust concentration gradients and observe water molecule movement across the membrane in real-time 3D particle simulation. The module covers hypotonic, isotonic, and hypertonic conditions, with each state transition triggering visual changes in cell volume and particle distribution. Variable control sliders are designed for single-hand operation, accommodating students with upper limb disabilities [4].

6.4 Microbiology

The Microbiology module provides a complete virtual Gram staining workflow — crystal violet application, iodine mordant, decolorization, and safranin counterstain — on virtual bacterial culture slides, with real-time color change simulation for Gram-positive and Gram-negative specimens. Students then examine the stained specimens under the virtual microscope and identify microorganism morphology from a catalog of eight bacterial types. The complete elimination of live bacterial cultures, chemical staining reagents, and biohazard specimens makes this module uniquely accessible to immunocompromised students who would be entirely excluded from the physical equivalent [14].

6.5 Photosynthesis

The Photosynthesis module provides an interactive simulation of a plant cell's chloroplast system with independently adjustable light intensity (0-100%), CO_2 concentration (0.03%-5%), and temperature (10°C-40°C) variables. Students adjust these parameters and observe the resulting changes in oxygen production rate, glucose generation, and chloroplast activity visualized as animated particle systems. The simulation implements the biochemically accurate light-dependent and light-independent (Calvin cycle) reaction responses, providing scientifically rigorous learning content alongside accessible interactive controls [1].

7. IMPLEMENTATION AND DEVELOPMENT

ImmersiLab was developed over a six-month implementation cycle following a five-phase Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC): Requirement Analysis, System Design, Implementation, Testing, and Deployment.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⪪ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⪪ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

During Requirement Analysis, structured interviews were conducted with 15 differently-abled students and 5 biology educators at MIET, Meerut to identify the specific barriers experienced in physical laboratory settings and the specific features required in a VR alternative. These interviews directly informed the six accessibility design principles described in Section 4.1.

System Design produced the three-layer architecture (Section 5), the five experiment module specifications, the four-stage pedagogical workflow, and the Firebase data schema. All 20+ biological 3D models were produced in Blender 3D using anatomical reference materials and reviewed for accuracy by biology faculty. Model polygon counts were optimized for real-time rendering on Meta Quest 2's mobile GPU, with LOD (Level of Detail) systems implemented to maintain performance across varying viewing distances.

Implementation used Unity Engine LTS [7] as the primary development environment with C# as the scripting language for all experiment logic, XR interaction systems, Firebase integration, and UI management. The XR Interaction Toolkit provided the foundation for all grab, rotate, zoom, and inspect interactions across both Meta Quest 2/3 and Meta Quest 2/3 targets via the OpenXR standard.

Performance optimization was a primary implementation concern given the hardware constraints of Meta Quest 2's Snapdragon XR2 processor. Key optimizations included: GPU instancing for repeated biological model instances, dynamic occlusion culling for off-screen objects, compressed texture atlasing for all biological specimen materials, and a custom LOD system maintaining target frame rate of 72+ FPS throughout all experiment interactions.

8. PERFORMANCE METRICS

Three primary performance metrics were defined to evaluate ImmersiLab's technical fitness for deployment as an accessible education platform for differently-abled students.

Frame Rate (FPS): Frame rate is the primary determinant of VR comfort. The accepted minimum threshold for motion-sickness-free VR is 72 FPS on Meta Quest 2 and 90 FPS on Meta Quest 3 [9]. Falling below these thresholds causes visual stutter and induces vestibular discomfort — a particularly serious concern for differently-abled users who may have heightened sensitivity to sensory disruption.

RAM Consumption: Memory consumption must remain within the 4GB physical RAM limit of Meta Quest 2. Exceeding 2GB of active RAM usage risks system-level memory pressure that can cause application crashes or performance degradation during long experiment sessions.

Application Loading Time: For differently-abled students with fatigue conditions or limited concentration windows, loading times represent a non-trivial access barrier. A target of under 10 seconds was set to ensure that initiation friction does not discourage use.

9. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Performance and User Acceptance Testing was conducted with 30 MCA students at MIET, Meerut over a structured three-day testing protocol. Table 2 presents the system configuration and quantitative performance results.

Parameters	Values
Platform	Meta Quest 2/3 (VR Only)
Engine	Unity Engine LTS
XR Framework	XR Interaction Toolkit + OpenXR
3D Modelling	Blender 3D
Backend DB	Firebase Realtime Database
Experiment Modules	5 Modules
3D Biological Models	20+

ISSN (O) 2278-1021, ISSN (P) 2319-5940
International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⁂ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁂ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

Test Group	MCA Students, MIET Meerut
Test Sample Size	30 Students
FPS — Meta Quest 2	72+ FPS
FPS — Meta Quest 2/3 VR	90+ FPS
RAM Usage	< 2 GB
App Loading Time	< 10 seconds

Table 2. System Configuration and Performance Parameters

ImmersiLab consistently achieved 72+ FPS across all five experiment modules on Meta Quest 2, with no instances of frame rate drops below the 72 FPS VR comfort threshold reported during any test session. On Meta Quest 2/3 with a standard GTX 1660 GPU, the system achieved 90+ FPS throughout all experiments. Active RAM consumption peaked at 1.87GB during the Cell Observation module — the highest-polygon environment — well within the 2GB safety threshold. Application cold-start loading time averaged 8.3 seconds across all test devices.

User Acceptance Testing was conducted via structured post-session questionnaires across six dimensions of usability and accessibility. Results are presented in Table 3.

UAT Parameter	Score	(out of 5)
Overall Learning Experience	4.6	★★★★★
Experiment Guidance Helpfulness	4.4	★★★★☆
VR Comfort and Immersion	4.3	★★★★☆
UI Clarity and Navigation	4.7	★★★★★
Accessibility and Ease of Use	4.5	★★★★★
Willingness to Use Regularly	4.6	★★★★★

Table 3. User Acceptance Testing Results — MCA Students, MIET Meerut

Qualitative feedback collected alongside quantitative scores provided several key accessibility-specific findings. 93% of participants agreed that ImmersiLab would enable students unable to attend physical labs to participate fully in biology practical education — a direct validation of the system's primary design objective. 87% reported that the self-paced experiment structure significantly reduced the performance anxiety typically associated with timed physical laboratory sessions, a finding consistent with Smith and Cheung's [5] documentation of VR's anxiety-reduction effects for differently-abled learners. 91% indicated they would prefer ImmersiLab to traditional laboratory sessions if given a choice, suggesting that the system's accessibility features benefit all learners, not exclusively those with disabilities.

10. DISCUSSION

The experimental results establish that ImmersiLab meets all defined technical performance thresholds for accessible VR deployment, and that user satisfaction scores across accessibility, usability, and learning experience dimensions are consistently high. These findings, contextualized within the literature review in Section 3, support three conclusions of broader significance for inclusive education policy and EdTech development in India.

First, the technical performance results confirm that high-quality, curriculum-grade VR biology education is achievable on affordable consumer hardware that is realistically accessible to Indian educational institutions. Meta Quest 2 headsets were available at approximately INR 30,000-35,000 during the development period — a capital investment that, when

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⵘ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⵘ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

amortized across multiple students and multiple academic years, compares favorably with the annual cost of reagents, specimens, and microscope maintenance for a single physical laboratory [3].

Second, the accessibility-specific UAT findings validate the core design hypothesis of ImmersiLab: that removing physical, safety, attendance, and sensory barriers through immersive VR produces genuine educational inclusion rather than a diminished substitute. Students were not reporting that ImmersiLab was adequate despite their constraints; they were reporting preference for it, including among students without documented disabilities. This suggests that the design principles of inclusive education — flexibility, self-pacing, sensory accommodation, and freedom from time pressure — improve learning outcomes for all students, not exclusively those with disabilities [11].

Third, ImmersiLab demonstrates a replicable design framework — six accessibility principles, three-layer architecture, four-stage pedagogical workflow — that can be extended across science disciplines and academic levels. The modular experiment architecture means that Chemistry, Physics, and Environmental Science modules can be added without fundamental re-engineering of the platform. This scalability is essential for ImmersiLab to function as an institutional resource rather than a single-subject tool.

11. FUTURE SCOPE

ImmersiLab's current implementation provides a validated foundation for a significantly expanded inclusive science education platform. Table 4 summarizes the primary enhancements planned for future development phases.

Enhancement	Description
AI Mentor (NLP)	Real-time Hindi/Hinglish voice tutoring using GPT-4 API
Mobile AR Mode	Biology models overlaid on real environment via smartphone
Haptic Feedback	Tactile simulation of dissection and lab equipment
Adaptive Learning	ML-based personalized difficulty adjustment per student
Multiplayer Labs	Collaborative experiments in real-time with classmates
LMS Integration	Moodle and Google Classroom compatible progress sync
Subject Expansion	Chemistry, Physics, Environmental Science modules

Table 4. Future Scope and Planned Enhancements

The highest-priority future enhancement is an NLP-based AI Mentor module using GPT-4 API integration [17] to provide real-time voice-based tutoring in Hindi and Hinglish, directly addressing the needs of visually impaired students and Hindi-medium learners in Tier 2 and Tier 3 Indian cities. Mobile AR Mode will overlay biology models onto the student's real-world environment via smartphone camera, providing an entry-level accessibility option that does not require VR headset ownership. Haptic Feedback Glove integration will provide tactile simulation of dissection resistance and equipment texture, adding a sensory dimension to the laboratory experience that further increases immersive fidelity [4]. LMS integration with Moodle and Google Classroom will enable ImmersiLab to be formally embedded in institutional course structures, enabling automated grade reporting, attendance logging, and curriculum alignment at scale.

12. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented ImmersiLab, an immersive Virtual Reality Biology Laboratory designed specifically to address the systematic exclusion of differently-abled students from practical biology education in India. The paper documented five distinct categories of barriers — physical infrastructure, biohazard and health safety, attendance mandates, sensory accessibility gaps, and pandemic fragility — that collectively deny differently-abled students access to a mandatory component of their academic curriculum. A comparative analysis of existing VR platforms demonstrated that none address these barriers adequately for the Indian educational context.

ImmersiLab addresses every identified barrier through six core accessibility design principles, a clean three-layer system architecture, five curriculum-aligned interactive experiment modules, and a four-stage pedagogical workflow. Technical performance testing confirmed that the system achieves 72+ FPS on Meta Quest 2, maintains RAM usage below 2GB, and loads in under 10 seconds. User Acceptance Testing with 30 MCA students at MIET, Meerut produced satisfaction

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering
Impact Factor 8.471 ⪤ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⪤ Vol. 15, Issue 4, April 2026
DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

scores averaging 4.5 out of 5, with 93% of participants affirming the system's potential to enable full biology practical participation for students unable to attend physical laboratories.

The research evidence base reviewed in this paper [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 13] collectively establishes that high-immersion interactive VR is the most effective available technological medium for both science education and disability inclusion in educational settings. ImmersiLab operationalizes this evidence base into a deployable, affordable, India-specific system. In doing so, it makes a contribution not only to educational technology but to the broader project of genuine inclusion — the recognition that differently-abled students do not require a lesser version of science education, but rather a different access route to the same quality of learning experience.

REFERENCES

[1] Merchant, Z., Goetz, E.T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., and Davis, T.J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 70, pp. 29-40.
[2] Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T.A., Fromm, J., and Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. Computers & Education, 147, 103778.
[3] Johnson, A., Roussos, M., Leigh, J., Vasilakis, C., Barnes, C., and Moher, T. (2020). The NICE Project: Learning together in a virtual world. Proceedings of IEEE Virtual Reality, pp. 176-183.
[4] Serafin, S., Adjorlu, A., and Nilsson, N.C. (2018). Considerations on the use of virtual and augmented reality technologies in inclusive education. Proceedings of IEEE VR Workshop on Immersive Sickness, pp. 1-6.
[5] Smith, M.J. and Cheung, A. (2019). Virtual reality approaches for individuals with disabilities. In Handbook of Research on Using Virtual Reality Technology in Higher Education. IGI Global, pp. 239-256.
[6] Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Govt. of India. (2019). Enumeration of Persons with Disabilities in India: Key Findings. New Delhi: Office of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.
[7] Unity Technologies. (2023). Unity Engine LTS Documentation and XR Interaction Toolkit Reference Guide. San Francisco, CA: Unity Technologies Inc.
[8] Google Firebase. (2023). Firebase Realtime Database Technical Documentation. Mountain View, CA: Google LLC.
[9] Meta Platforms Inc. (2023). Meta Quest 2 and Quest 3 Developer Documentation and Hardware Reference. Menlo Park, CA: Meta Platforms Inc.
[10] Freina, L. and Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: State of the art and perspectives. Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference eLearning and Software for Education, Bucharest, Vol. 1, pp. 133-141.
[11] Makransky, G. and Lilleholt, L. (2018). A structural equation modeling investigation of the emotional value of immersive virtual reality in education. Educational Technology Research and Development, 66(5), pp. 1141-1164.
[12] National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC). (2021). Report on Laboratory Infrastructure and Practical Education in Indian Higher Education Institutions. Bengaluru: NAAC.
[13] "Technological Transformations: A Comprehensive Review of AI, IoT, VR, AR, and Emerging Technologies in Diverse Industries" Authors: Vijayalakshmi Sajwan, Anju Bhandari Gandhi, Navdeep Kumar Chopra, Shagufta Praveen, Madhur Thapliyal, Uruj Jaleel, Shiv Dayal Pandey, Rajiv Kumar, Deepak Negi. Published in 2024. DOI: 10.1109/ICACCM61117.2024.11059157
[14] World Health Organization. (2020). Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories, 6th Ed. Geneva: WHO Press.
[15] UNICEF India. (2021). Children and Youth with Disabilities and COVID-19: Education Access and Exclusion. New Delhi: UNICEF India Country Office.
[16] Wiley, K., Bekki, J., Brozina, C., and London, J. (2018). Exploring the use of virtual laboratories to improve laboratory accessibility for students with disabilities. ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Salt Lake City, UT.
[17] OpenAI. (2023). GPT-4 Technical Report and API Documentation. San Francisco, CA: OpenAI LP

AUTHORS

Faheem Ahmad is a student of MCA, MIET, Meerut, affiliated with Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow. He is the founder of ZyqoMedia, a creator-brand AI infrastructure startup. His research interests include VR/AR education systems, AI-driven content automation, and inclusive EdTech for Indian markets. Email: faheem.ahmad.mca.2024@miet.ac.in

DOI: 10.17148/IJARCCE.2026.154199

Dev Verma is a student of MCA, MIET, Meerut, affiliated with Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow. His research interests include interactive simulation design, game engine development using Unity, and XR application development for education. Email: Dev.verma.mca.2024@miet.ac.in

Tanya Verma is a student of MCA, MIET, Meerut, affiliated with Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow. Her research interests include human-computer interaction, accessibility design in digital systems, and immersive learning environment evaluation. Email: Tanya.verma.mca.2024@miet.ac.in

Harsh Sharma is a student of MCA, MIET, Meerut, affiliated with Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow. His research interests include cloud-based backend systems, Firebase integration, and real-time data management for scalable educational platforms. Email: Harsh.sharma.mca.2024@miet.ac.in